


**ANNUAL REPORT
JULY 2015 THROUGH JUNE 2016
CALLE DEL BARCO
LANDSLIDE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
MALIBU, CALIFORNIA**

Prepared for:
CITY OF MALIBU

October 2016
Fugro Project No. 04.62160605





FUGRO CONSULTANTS, INC.

4820 McGrath Street, Suite 100
Ventura, California 943003
T +1 805 650-7000
F +1 805 650-7010

November 4, 2016
Project No. 04.62160605

City of Malibu
23825 Stuart Ranch Road
Malibu, California 90265

Attention: Mr. Rob DuBoux, Esq., P.E.

Subject: Annual Report, July 2015 through June 2016, Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California


Dear Mr. Duboux,

Fugro is pleased to present this annual report for the Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District. This report summarizes the monitoring and maintenance activities completed during the period of July 2015 through June 2016.

Fugro appreciates the opportunity to be of service to the City of Malibu and the District homeowners. Please contact Loree Berry at (805) 289-3830 if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

FUGRO CONSULTANTS, INC.


Nicholas Simon, G.I.T
Staff Geologist/Lead Technician


Loree A. Berry, P.E.
Associate Engineer/Project Manager



Copies Submitted: (1) Addressee and PDF
(1) City of Malibu - Geotechnical staff and PDF



CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Authorization	1
1.2 Background	1
1.3 Scope of Work.....	1
1.4 Report Organization	2
1.5 Report Availability	2
2.0 Monitoring	2
2.1 Rainfall Data.....	2
2.2 Groundwater Monitoring.....	2
2.2.1 Standpipe Piezometers	3
2.2.2 Pneumatic Piezometers	3
2.2.3 Groundwater Level Discussion.....	4
2.3 Dewatering Production	5
2.3.1 Total Dewatering Production	5
2.3.2 Dewatering Well Production	6
2.3.3 Hydrauger Production	6
2.4 Slope Inclinerometer Measurements.....	6
3.0 Facility Maintenance	7
3.1 Maintenance Summary	7
3.2 Capital Improvement Projects	8
4.0 Summary and Conclusions	8
5.0 References.....	10

TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Summary of Average Groundwater Elevations by Area	5
Table 2. Summary of Facility Maintenance.....	8

PLATES

	Plate
Site Location Map	1
Assessment District Map	2
Rainfall Graph	3
Total Discharge - Wells and Hydraugers	4
Groundwater Levels, Dewatering and Rainfall.....	5



CONTENTS - CONTINUED

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A GROUNDWATER DATA

Piezometer Information	Plate A-1
Summary of Groundwater Data	Plate A-2
Groundwater Hydrograph - Rambla Vista.....	Plate A-3
Groundwater Hydrograph - Calle Del Barco	Plate A-4
Groundwater Hydrograph - Rambla Pacifico	Plate A-5

APPENDIX B DEWATERING DATA

Dewatering Well / Hydrauger Information.....	Plate B-1
Dewatering Well Graph - Rambla Orienta and Slope	Plate B-2
Dewatering Well Graph - Calle Del Barco and Rambla Pacifico.....	Plate B-3
Hydrauger Discharge Rate Graph-Rambla Orienta	Plate B-4
Hydrauger Discharge Rate Graph-Landslide Toe	Plate B-5

APPENDIX C SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA

Summary of Slope Inclinometers.....	Plate C-1
SI-4 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-2a
SI-4 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-2b
SI-4 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-2c
SI-4 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-2d
SI-5 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-3a
SI-5 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-3b
SI-5 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-3c
SI-5 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-3d
SI-7 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-4a
SI-7 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-4b
SI-7 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-4c
SI-7 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-4d
SI-8 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-5a
SI-8 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-5b
SI-8 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-5c
SI-8 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-5d
SI-9 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-6a
SI-9 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-6b
SI-9 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-6c
SI-9 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-6d
SI-10 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-7a
SI-10 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-7b
SI-10 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-7c
SI-10 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-7d
SI-11 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-8a
SI-11 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-8b
SI-11 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-8c
SI-11 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-8d
SI-12 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-9a
SI-12 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-9b
SI-12 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-9c
SI-12 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-9d



CONTENTS - CONTINUED

APPENDICES

APPENDIX C SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA

SI-13 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-10a
SI-13 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-10b
SI-13 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-10c
SI-13 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-10d
SI-14 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-11a
SI-14 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-11b
SI-14 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-11c
SI-14 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-11d
SI-15 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-12a
SI-15 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-12b
SI-15 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-12c
SI-15 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-12d
SI-16 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (A Direction).....	Plate C-13a
SI-16 – Cumulative and Incremental Displacement (B Direction).....	Plate C-13b
SI-16 – Displacement vs. Time (A Direction).....	Plate C-13c
SI-16 – Displacement vs. Time (B Direction).....	Plate C-13d



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 AUTHORIZATION

Fugro prepared this data report in accordance with our contract with the City of Malibu (City) and consistent with the cost estimate document "Exhibit A - FY 2016-2017 Maintenance Cost Estimate" presented in the Annual Assessment Report (Taussig, 2016).

1.2 BACKGROUND

The Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District (Assessment District) was established in 1986 by the County of Los Angeles (County) following the activation of a landslide between Rambla Orienta and Calle del Barco in 1978. The Assessment District provides permanent funding to maintain and monitor dewatering facilities with the purpose of stabilizing the landslide. The County administered the Assessment District until 1991 when the City of Malibu incorporated. The Assessment District was reauthorized in May 1998 under Resolution No. 98-033. The City has since administered the Assessment District, utilizing consultants to maintain and monitor the district facilities.

1.3 SCOPE OF WORK

This annual report summarizes the monitoring and maintenance of the geotechnical instrumentation and dewatering facilities for the period between July 1, 2015, and June 30, 2016 (herein after, the 'monitoring period').

Data collected during this monitoring period included the following:

- Annual rainfall data from a local rain gauge operated by the County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works - Water Resources Division;
- Monthly groundwater level measurements from 9 standpipes;
- Periodic groundwater level measurements from 19 pneumatic piezometers;
- Monthly dewatering production readings from 11 dewatering wells;
- Monthly dewatering production readings from 11 horizontal drains;
- Quarterly ground deformation measurements from 12 slope inclinometers; and
- Periodic maintenance of dewatering and monitoring facilities.

The operating condition of the instrumentation and dewatering facilities was checked at each field monitoring/observation location and by evaluating preliminary data in the office as information was received. Maintenance was performed as needed, based on field observations, preliminary data evaluation and correspondence from concerned homeowners and tenants.

The scope of our services is limited to monitoring and maintaining the Assessment District facilities. The services that are provided on an annual basis for the Assessment District do not include geologic or engineering evaluations of the stability of the landslide.

1.4 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report summarizes the monitoring data collected during the July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 monitoring period and presents conclusions regarding the annual monitoring results. The location of the Assessment District is illustrated on Plate 1 - Site Location Map. Locations of the geotechnical instrumentation facilities are shown on Plate 2 - Assessment District Map. Tabulated and graphic summaries of monitoring data are presented in Appendices A through C as indicated in the table of contents.

1.5 REPORT AVAILABILITY

The annual Assessment District reports are available for review at Malibu City Hall. Reports may also be viewed on the City's website at <http://www.malibucity.org>.

2.0 MONITORING

2.1 RAINFALL DATA

A graph of historical monthly rainfall and average annual rainfall from October 1968 through September 30, 2016 is shown on Plate 3 - Rainfall Graph. Monthly rainfall totals from 1968 through 2004 were obtained from County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works (LADPW) Carbon Canyon Rain Station 447C and monthly rainfall totals from 2004 to the present were obtained from LADPW Big Rock Mesa Rain Gauge 1239.

Rainfall data indicate that approximately 9.4 inches of precipitation fell during the monitoring period from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. The average annual rainfall from 1968 to 2016 in the "Malibu Area" for the same months is approximately 15.0 inches.

However, rainfall data are usually analyzed in terms of the annual "rain season" that covers the time period between October 1 through September 30. Rainfall for October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016 was approximately 7.4 inches. That is approximately 48 percent of the average annual rainfall of 15.4 inches for the "rain seasons" between 1968 and 2016.

Plate 5 – Groundwater Levels, Dewatering, and Rainfall shows the yearly magnitude of deviation of each years' rainfall relative to the mean annual rainfall. The graphic also shows the average annual dewatering output (gallons per day, gpd). The data illustrates that the average annual dewatering output has been decreasing with continued below average rainfall.

2.2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

The groundwater level data collected during the current monitoring period are summarized in Appendix A and a summary graph of annual mean high groundwater elevations for the Assessment District is included as part of Plate 5. Groundwater levels fluctuate throughout the year and from year-to-year in response to natural and man-made influences. The primary natural influence is varying precipitation. Man-made influences include:

- Infiltration from septic systems;

- Infiltration from irrigation;
- Alterations to surface drainage by grading, landscaping, storm drains and rain gutters;
- Accidental water discharges from leaking utilities such as water, irrigation, sewer, and storm drain lines as well as swimming pools; and
- Dewatering activities from pumping dewatering wells and hydraugers.

Typically, groundwater levels rise relatively quickly following significant rainfall and gradually lower after a wet season ends. Groundwater levels recorded in the Assessment District have typically peaked around late-March to mid-April and gradually decline from late September through November.

2.2.1 Standpipe Piezometers

Nine standpipe piezometers (SI-4, SI-5, SI-7, SI-8, SI-9, SI-13, SI-14, SI-15 and SI-16) were measured over the monitoring period. Standpipe piezometers are constructed from a length of pipe, usually PVC, inserted into a borehole and then backfilled in place with grout, sand or other approved backfill materials. The pipe contains perforations along selected depth intervals that allow groundwater to enter from the formation and fill the pipe to a height equivalent to the water head at the perforated interval. Some of the standpipes in Calle del Barco may be perforated along most of their length and are therefore effective at measuring the average water head at their location, which is typically the equivalent of the water table. Other standpipes are perforated only at the bottom five feet of the casing and measure water head specific to that depth interval. The water level inside piezometers is measured directly by lowering an electric sounder down the standpipe into contact with the water surface. The locations of the standpipe piezometers are depicted on Plate 2 - Assessment District Map, and groundwater elevation data are presented in Appendix A.

2.2.2 Pneumatic Piezometers

Inclinometer casings installed within the Assessment District after 1996 were outfitted with two-to-four pneumatic piezometer sensors, referred to as 'Tips', nested at varying depths along the length of the inclinometer casing. Each sensor records the saturated pore water pressure at its nested depth by measuring differential air pressure between the instrument sensor and the groundwater surface across a flexible bladder. Differential pressure is converted into water head, which is translated to a relative groundwater elevation. Measuring pore pressures at specific elevations along a vertical profile can be used to measure flow gradients for groundwater migrating through the formation above and within the water table and to infer the presence of perched or confined groundwater zones.

With the exception of SI-16 which was installed in 2003, all of the pneumatic sensors were installed in 1998 or prior; over time some of the sensors and their air-line tubing have developed leaks or become occluded, resulting in inconsistent results especially when reading low pore pressures. Due to the inconsistent data and generally historical low groundwater levels, the pneumatic piezometers were monitored only intermittently during the 2015-2016 monitoring period.

The locations of the piezometers are shown on Plate 2 and groundwater elevation data are presented in Appendix A. The pneumatic piezometers were measured in March and June 2016. The results of the pneumatic piezometer readings assessment are presented in Appendix A.

2.2.3 Groundwater Level Discussion

General. Groundwater data were reviewed by evaluating changes that occurred within each of three geographic areas delineated within the Calle del Barco Landslide limits. Groundwater elevations within each of the three areas were compared to the previous and current monitoring period as well as to levels over extended periods. To analyze trends in seasonal groundwater fluctuations, the average (mean) annual and highest annual recorded groundwater elevation for each piezometer were calculated and compared with those of previous years (Appendix A, Plate A-2).

Rambla Vista. Groundwater elevation data for the Rambla Vista Area are presented in Plate A-3. Groundwater levels for this area are monitored using standpipes SI-7 and SI-4 and the pneumatic piezometers attached to Inclinator SI-10. In general, groundwater elevations in the Rambla Vista Area continued to decline during the 2015-2016 monitoring period. The calculated area average declined one foot when compared to the area average for the 2014-2015 monitoring period and is about 5.5 feet lower than the mean water level elevation for this area for the period of record (1991-2016).

Groundwater levels measured in standpipe SI-4 rose briefly in February and April 2016, as a result of rainfall events during those months before then declining to their lowest recorded elevations since 2004 (in March and June 2016). Groundwater elevations in SI-7 have continued to decline and recorded all-time lows for the period of record on April 5, 2016, before slightly rising. Pore pressure readings in pneumatic piezometer SI-10 Tip 3 and Tip 4 measured 0 PSI. Pneumatic piezometer SI-10 Tip 3 has read 0 PSI since September 2006 and Tip 4 has read 0 PSI since installation. It is believed that Tip 3 and Tip 4 are functioning, but are reading zero due to the groundwater elevation being lower than the piezometer tip elevation. SI-10 Tips 1 and 2 are clogged and non-functional and were last readable in June 2013 and February 2010, respectively.

Calle Del Barco. Groundwater data for the Calle del Barco Area are presented on Plate A-4. Groundwater levels for this area are monitored using standpipe piezometers SI-5, SI-8, SI-9, SI-15, and SI-16 and pneumatic piezometers attached to inclinometers SI-9, SI-11, SI-12, SI-15, and SI-16. In general, groundwater elevations in the Calle del Barco Area for the 2015-2016 monitoring period remained unchanged from the 2014-2015 monitoring period with no difference between the respective calculated area averages. The Calle del Barco area remains approximately 12.5 feet below the mean water level elevation for this area over the period of record.

Water levels in all of the individual standpipe piezometers trended lower or remained unchanged throughout the monitoring year except for some temporary rises following the rainfall events of January and March 2016.

The pneumatic piezometers within the Calle del Barco Area were monitored in March 2016 and June 2016. Data are not reported for the pneumatic piezometers between March 2014 and March 2016. Pneumatic piezometer readings from March 2016 were measured shortly after significant rainfall events and with the exception of SI-16 Tip-1, which declined, all of them reflected water level rises when compared to the March 2014 readings. During the subsequent round of pneumatic piezometer readings taken in June 2016, all water levels had begun to decline. Pneumatic piezometers SI-9 Tip 2, SI-12 Tip 4, and SI-16 Tip 2 have measured 0 PSI since 1998 and none of their data are presented on Plate A-4. Pneumatic piezometers SI-15 Tip 2 and SI-12 Tip 3 are no longer functioning and no new data are presented.

Rambla Pacifico. Groundwater data for the Rambla Pacifico Area are presented on Plate A-5. Groundwater levels for this area are monitored using standpipe piezometers SI-13 and SI-14 along with two pneumatic piezometers installed within each of those standpipes. In general, groundwater elevations in the Rambla Pacifico Area for the 2015-2016 monitoring period continued to decline. The calculated area average declined by 2.4 feet when compared to the 2014-2015 monitoring period and is 4.9 feet below the mean water level elevation for the area for the period of record.

Water levels in the individual standpipe piezometers continued to decline throughout the monitoring period and are at all-time lows for the period of record. Pneumatic Piezometers SI-13 Tip 1 and SI-14 Tip 1 continue to decline with trends that approximately correlate with their respective standpipe water levels. The shallow pneumatic piezometers (Tip 2) in both standpipes have been dry since installation and no data are reported. Dewatering well W-K, located within the Rambla Pacifico Area near SI-13 has a reported bottom elevation of 370 feet and has been completely dry since December 2015.

Summary. Groundwater levels in individual piezometers were generally lower relative to the previous year. The annual average groundwater elevations were lower than the prior year averages in the Rambla Vista and Rambla Pacifico areas and unchanged in the Calle del Barco Area. The peak groundwater elevations were lower than the prior year's peak in the Rambla Vista and Rambla Pacifico Areas and slightly higher in the Calle del Barco Area. Table 1 presents the average and highest annual groundwater levels by area. The shallow pneumatic piezometers located above the water table reflect unsaturated conditions, which indicates that there has not been sufficient recharge to maintain significant shallow perched water.

Table 1. Summary of Average Groundwater Elevations by Area

Location	Average Groundwater Elevation 2015-2016	Change from Prior Year Average	Peak Groundwater Elevation 2015-2016	Change from Prior Year Peak
Rambla Vista	162.8	-1.0	164.6	-0.9
Calle Del Barco	250.3	0.0	251.7	+0.7
Rambla Pacifico	346.2	-2.4	346.4	-2.3

Note: All Units are in feet.

2.3 DEWATERING PRODUCTION

Dewatering production data are provided in Appendix B, with dewatering well and hydrauger information presented on Plate B-1. A summary of the dewatering output compared to groundwater levels and rainfall is depicted on Plate 5.

2.3.1 Total Dewatering Production

A combined graph of the total dewatering rate for the monitored dewatering wells and hydraugers is presented on Plate 4. Total dewatering production data for the measured hydraugers and wells indicates the following:

- The average total dewatering rate during the monitoring period was approximately 561 gallons per day (gpd). This represents a 4 percent decrease in the average rate relative to the 583 gpd average recorded during the previous monitoring period

2.3.2 Dewatering Well Production

Graphs showing production rates for individual dewatering wells are provided in Appendix B. Production data for the dewatering wells indicates the following:

- The average total dewatering well production rate for this monitoring period was approximately 289 gpd. That represents a decrease of about 35 percent from the average production rate of 443 gpd for the previous monitoring period.
- The decline in dewatering well production correlates with continued below average rainfall and continued decline in average groundwater levels.
- The most significant production declines were recorded at dewatering wells W-J and W-K. Dewatering well W-K was eventually found to be completely dry by December 2015.

2.3.3 Hydrauger Production

Graphs of production rates for individual hydraugers are included in Appendix B. Data for the hydraugers indicate the following:

- The average production rate for all hydraugers over the monitoring period is approximately 272 gpd. That represents an increase of approximately 95 percent from the average production rate of 139 gpd for the previous monitoring period.
- The increase in hydrauger production is a reflection of the flow rates recorded after the rain events of January through March 2016, especially from H-1A.
- Hydrauger H-1A reached a peak flow rate of 1,605 gpd in early April before declining. A weep hole adjacent to H-1A was monitored for several weeks and reached a peak flow of 875 gpd in early April.
- During April 2016, Hydrauger HD-13 recorded its highest production rate since April 2011 before declining through the summer and fall.

2.4 SLOPE INCLINOMETER MEASUREMENTS

Fugro monitored 12 slope inclinometers on a quarterly basis to evaluate subsurface ground deformation. Plots of slope inclinometer measurements (four plots for each monitored slope inclinometer) are presented in Appendix C. The first plot shows the cumulative deflection and incremental deflection for the A-direction and the second plot shows the cumulative deflection and incremental deflection for the B-direction. Those two plots show approximately one measurement per year from about 2006 through the current monitoring year. The third and fourth plots show displacement versus time for the same period for all of the measurements plotted on the A and B direction plates the during that period.



When reviewing and interpreting the slope inclinometer data plots, instrument limitations and movement history should be considered. Individual plots have been reviewed and interpreted with regard to movement along identified slide planes. Interpreted movement along the identified slide planes is summarized on Plate C-1 in Appendix C. Some slope inclinometer plots show minor amounts of displacement measured at the ground surface during the 2015 through 2016 monitoring period. Measurements along identified slide planes during the 2015 through 2016 monitoring period are less than 0.1 inch except at inclinometer SI-9. Of the inclinometers measured, Inclinometer SI-9 recorded the highest amount of total surface displacement over the monitoring year with about 0.2 inches of movement that includes both shear plane and rotational components.

Inclinometer SI-9 exhibits a pattern of movement measured at the ground surface at a rate of about 0.06 inch/year since 2005, resulting in approximately 0.7 inch of cumulative displacement since the 2004-2005 monitoring period. Movement of the inclinometer appears to be both translational at specific depths between 30 and 55 feet and rotational about a hinge point at about 55 feet below the ground surface. The recorded movement of SI-9 consisted of both the continuing, consistent rotational movement at approximately 55 feet with an additional shear component of approximate 0.05 inches at approximately 44 feet depth. The shear component of movement appears to have occurred after the rainfall events of early 2016.

Historically, inclinometer SI-16 has exhibited a pattern of movement measured at the ground surface at an average rate of up about 0.08 inches/year since 2005, resulting in approximately 0.5 inches of cumulative displacement at the ground surface since the 2004-2005 monitoring period. Movement of that inclinometer appears to be both translational at specific depths between 0 to 10 feet and between 40 and 50 feet and rotational about a hinge point at about 50 feet below the ground surface. That pattern of movement is similar to the movement observed in inclinometer SI-9 located in close proximity to SI-16. However, no detectable movement occurred at SI-16 over the 2015-2016 monitoring period.

Although the movement observed in inclinometers SI-9 and SI-16 has not produced new, observed distress in pavements or other development of which Fugro is aware, the movement is nevertheless quantifiable and can be defined by a clear trend.

3.0 FACILITY MAINTENANCE

3.1 MAINTENANCE SUMMARY

The operating status of each dewatering well and hydrauger was checked monthly. When necessary, repair work is scheduled and undertaken as expeditiously as possible, typically within a matter of a few hours or days. Table 2. Summary of Facility Maintenance provides a description of significant maintenance activities that were completed during the current monitoring period.



Table 2. Summary of Facility Maintenance

Repair Date	Facility	Description
February 4, 2016	Hydraugers 7 through 13	Excavate hydrauger cluster buried by slope creep
February 4, 2016	Hydraugers 7 through 13	Repair conveyance discharge line and connection to above ground storm drain.
February 15, 2016	Hydrauger H-1	Repair PVC broken inside retaining wall and patch wall
February 17, 2016	Dewatering Well W-L	Replace motor, pump, drop pipe, control box and surface plumbing. Installed corrosion resistant pump
February 14, 2016	Dewatering Well W-H	Replace broken flow meter

3.2 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

No capital improvements were performed during the current monitoring period.

4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The status of monitoring facilities within the Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District can be summarized as follows:

- Measured rainfall during the 2015 - 2016 monitoring year (July 1 through June 30) was 9.4 inches of precipitation. Rainfall during that monitoring period was below the “Malibu Area” historical average of 15.0 inches per monitoring year measured from 1968 through 2016.
- Average groundwater levels for the Rambla Vista and Rambla Pacifico areas were lower relative to the previous year whereas average groundwater levels in the Calle del Barco were the same as the prior year. Groundwater levels are generally continuing to decrease from the levels observed in the record winter of 2004 through 2005.
- In the standpipe piezometers, measured groundwater levels were generally lower than groundwater levels in monitoring year 1997-1998 when major slope failure occurred.
- Total dewatering production decreased about 4 percent when compared to last year’s total production. Decreased production is thought to be attributed to 1) well-below average rainfall for the monitoring period, and 2) generally static to declining groundwater levels within the District.
- Groundwater production from existing dewatering wells and hydraugers should be expected to continually decline regardless of rainfall amounts as the efficiency of the wells and hydraugers decrease due to mineralization and aging.
- Slope inclinometer readings indicate a maximum cumulative (since 2006) localized displacement at the ground surface of about 0.7 inch (SI-9) and 0.5 inch (SI-16) located on Calle del Barco with the majority of inclinometers indicating no significant ground movement since 2006.

- Water conservation is encouraged throughout the Calle Del Barco District to reduce the infiltration of domestic water and the potential for future groundwater level increases. Control of groundwater levels within the landslide area is a critical to maintaining the stability of the landslides.
- Groundwater production from existing dewatering wells and hydraugers should be expected to gradually decline over time as the efficiency of the wells and hydraugers decrease due to mineralization and aging of the facilities. This may contribute to reduced rates of groundwater lowering or localized increases in groundwater levels. Periodic maintenance of the existing facilities and replacement of older, worn-out pumps should improve the efficiency of the dewatering systems throughout the year, especially during and immediately following the rainy months.



5.0 REFERENCES

- Bing Yen and Associates, Inc. (1991), 'Monitoring, Instrumentation, and Dewatering Facilities at Calle Del Barco, Puerco Beach, Latigo Canyon, and Rambla Pacifico (two wells) Landslide Sites,' dated October 4.
- _____ (1992), 'First Quarter Observation Report: Instrumentation and Dewatering Facilities at Calle Del Barco, Puerco Beach, and Latigo Canyon Landslide Sites,' dated April 7.
- _____ (1992), 'Second Quarter Observation Report: Instrumentation and Dewatering Facilities at Calle Del Barco, Puerco Beach, and Latigo Canyon Landslide Sites,' dated July 9.
- _____ (1993), 'Semi-Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period January to July 1993, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated September.
- _____ (1994), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period July 1993 to July 1994, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated September.
- _____ (1995), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period July 1994 to July 1995, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated September.
- _____ (1996), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period July 1995 to July 1996, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated August.
- _____ (1997), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period July 1996 to July 1997, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated July.
- _____ (1998), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period July 1997 to April 1998, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated April.
- _____ (1999), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period April 1998 to March 1999, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated April.
- _____ (2000), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period April 1999 to June 2000, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated August.
- _____ (2001), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period April 2000 to June 2001, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated August.
- _____ (2003), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period April 2001 to June 2002, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated January.
- _____ (2003), 'Annual Observation & Maintenance Report for the Period April 2002 to June 2003, Calle Del Barco, Malibu, California,' dated October 2003.
- David Taussig & Associates, Inc. (2016), "Annual Assessment Report for Fiscal Year 2016-2017, City of Malibu, Assessment District No. 98-2 (Calle del Barco)," dated June 13.



Fugro West Inc. (2004), 'Annual Report, July 2003 through June 2004, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated November.

_____ (2005), 'Annual Report, July 2004 through June 2005, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated October.

_____ (2006), 'Annual Report, July 2005 through June 2006, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated August.

_____ (2007), 'Annual Report, July 2006 through June 2007, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated October.

_____ (2008), 'Annual Report, July 2007 through June 2008, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated October.

_____ (2009), 'Annual Report, July 2008 through June 2009, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated October.

_____ (2010), 'Annual Report, July 2009 through June 2010, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated April.

Fugro Consultants, Inc. (2011), 'Annual Report, July 2010 through June 2011, Calle Del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California,' dated November.


_____ (2012), "Annual Report, July 2011 through June 2012 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California," dated September 2012.

_____ (2014), "Annual Report, July 2012 through June 2013 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California," dated January 2014.

_____ (2015), "Annual Report, July 2013 through June 2014 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California," dated April 2015.

_____ (2016), "Annual Report, July 2014 through June 2015 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District, Malibu, California," dated November 3.

PLATES



Base Map Source: ESRI Online Basemaps

**Calle del Barco
 Landslide
 Assessment
 District**





1:96,000




SITE LOCATION MAP
 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California

N:\Projects\04_2014\04_6214_0605_Calle del Barco\Outputs\2015_3_2_Annual_Report\mxd\Plate01_SiteLocation.mxd, 3/3/2015, wcupples




- LEGEND**
- Active Dewatering Well
 - Inactive Dewatering Well
 - Slope Inclinometer/Standpipe
 - ROWH-1 -Conveyance Line for H-2
 - Horizontal Drain (Hydrauger)
 - QIs Approximate Limits of Landslide
 - Assessment District Boundary
 - Extent of Horizontal Drain (Hydrauger) Inset
 - +
 - Coordinate Grid: California State Plane, Zone 5, NAD 83, Feet





ASSESSMENT DISTRICT MAP
 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California

N:\Projects\04_2014\04_6214_0605_CalleDelBarco\Outputs\2015_3_2_Annual_Report\mxd\Plate02-CB_AssessmentDist.mxd, 3/3/2015, wcupples




RAINFALL GRAPH

Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California



TOTAL DISCHARGE - WELLS AND HYDRAUGERS
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California



* Graph shows the average of the highest groundwater elevations recorded in each well/piezometer during the monitoring period.

GROUNDWATER LEVELS, DEWATERING AND RAINFALL
 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California


APPENDIX A
GROUNDWATER DATA




CALLE DEL BARCO LAD - Standpipe Piezometer Information					
Standpipe ID	Reference Elevation (ft)	Casing Depth (ft)	Perforation Interval (ft)	Installed By	Notes
SI-4	207.0	81.0	Unknown	LACLD	
SI-5	302.0	100.0	Unknown	LACLD	
SI-7	201.0	106.0	Unknown	LACLD	
SI-8	352.0	131.0	Unknown	LACLD	
SI-9	298.0	100.0	93-98	LACLD	
SI-13	424.0	82.0	75-80	BYA	
SI-14	408.0	80.0	73-78	BYA	
SI-15	301.0	78.0	71-76	BYA	
SI-16	297.0	90.0	Unknown	BYA	

CALLE DEL BARCO LAD - Pneumatic Piezometer Information						
Piezometer ID	Tip No.	Reference Elev. (ft)	Tip depth (ft)	Tip Elev. (ft)	Installed By	Notes
SI-9	1	298	68	230	BYA	functioning
	2		38	260	BYA	0 PSI
SI-10	1*	202	60	142	BYA	blocked air line
	2*		50	152	BYA	blocked air line
	3		40	162	BYA	0 PSI
	4		20	182	BYA	0 PSI
SI-11	1	291.5	60	231.5	BYA	functioning
	2**		50	241.5	BYA	readings <0.3 PSI
	3*		40	251.5	BYA	air line leak
	4*		20	271.5	BYA	blocked air line
SI-12	1	301	60	241	BYA	functioning
	2		50	251	BYA	functioning
	3*		40	261	BYA	non-functioning
	4*		20	281	BYA	0 PSI
SI-13	1**	424	70	354	BYA	readings <0.3PSI
	2**		50	374	BYA	readings <0.3PSI
SI-14	1	408	68	340	BYA	functioning
	2		48	360	BYA	functioning
SI-15	1	301	66	235	BYA	functioning
	2*		36	265	BYA	non functioning
SI-16	1	297	70	227	BYA	functioning
	2**		40	257	BYA	readings <0.5 PSI


PIEZOMETER INFORMATION
 Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California



GROUNDWATER HYDROGRAPH
Rambla Vista
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California



GROUNDWATER HYDROGRAPH
Calle del Barco
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California



GROUNDWATER HYDROGRAPH
Rambla Pacifico
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California

**APPENDIX B
DEWATERING DATA**



Dewatering Well Information							
Well ID	Vault Elevation (ft.)	Bottom Elevation (ft.)	Pump Elevation (ft.)	Pump Size (hp)	2015-2016 Pumping Rate (gpd)	% of Total Well Production	Comment
W-A	196.0	Unknown	45.0	1/2	16.0	6%	
W-B	204.0	Unknown	54.0	1/2	2.2	1%	
W-C	295.0	Unknown	233.0	1/2	32.8	11%	
W-D*	297.0	Unknown	Unknown	none	0.0	0%	Destroyed '98
W-E	215.0	Unknown	116.5	1/2	25.1	9%	
W-F	210.0	109.0	112.0	1/2	66.4	23%	
W-G*	292.0	222.0	223.0	1/3	0.0	0%	No Pump
W-H	299.5	234.5	242.5	1/3	1.2	0%	
W-I	298.0	238.0	248.0	1/3	33.9	12%	
W-J	304.0	244.0	254.0	1/3	54.8	19%	
W-K	430.0	370.0	380.0	1/3	0.9	0%	Dry
W-L	258.0	189.0	192.5	1/2	6.1	2%	New Pump
W-M	302.0	237.0	Unknown	Unknown	48.9	17%	



Note: * Non-functioning Dewatering Wells

Hydrauger Information						
Hydrauger ID	Installed Length (ft)	Functional Length (ft)	2015-2016 Flow Rate (gpd)	% of Total Production	Installed By	Comment
HD-1 ²	93	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-2 ²	127	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-3 ²	155	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-4 ²	80	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-5 ²	65	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-6 ²	97	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-7 ²	227	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-8 ²	290	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-9	230	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-10 ²	330	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-11 ²	230	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-12 ²	330	unknown	0	0%	BYA	no production '15-'16
HD-13	210	unknown	53	19%	BYA	
H-1	240	unknown	56	21%	LA County	
H-2	180	unknown	0	0%	LA County	No outlet to monitor
ROWH-1	--	unknown	3	1%	BYA	includes discharge from H-2
H-3 ¹	235	unknown	0	0%	LA County	Destroyed 1998
H-4 ¹	140	unknown	0	0%	LA County	Destroyed 1998
H-5 ¹	260	unknown	0	0%	LA County	Destroyed 1998
H-6 ¹	140	unknown	0	0%	LA County	Destroyed 1998
H-7 ¹	205	unknown	0	0%	LA County	Destroyed 1998
H-1A	100	92	161	59%	Fugro	
H-2A	130	125	0	0%	Fugro	



Note: 1 - Destroyed in 1998 Landslide
 2 - Non-producing Hydraugers

DEWATERING WELL / HYDRAUGER INFORMATION


Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
 Malibu, California



DEWATERING WELL DISCHARGE RATE GRAPH
Rambla Orienta and Slope
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California




DEWATERING WELL DISCHARGE RATE GRAPH
Calle del Barco and Rambla Pacifico
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District



**HYDRAUGER DISCHARGE RATE GRAPH
Rambla Orienta**

Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California



HYDRAUGER DISCHARGE RATE GRAPH
Landslide Toe
Calle del Barco Landslide Assessment District
Malibu, California

APPENDIX C
SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA




Slope Inclinometer Interpretation Summary																	
	SI-1*	SI-1A	SI-2**	SI-3	SI-4	SI-5	SI-6	SI-7	SI-8	SI-9	SI-10	SI-11	SI-12	SI-13	SI-14	SI-15	SI-16
Installation Details																	
Surface Elev. (ft) 4/00	295.0	297.0	298.0	207.0	206.0	302.0	295.0	200.0	335.0	298.0	202.0	291.5	301.0	405.0	398.0	304.0	295.0
Original DEPTH (ft.)	64.0	NI	NI	NI	76.0	100.0	NI	100.0	130.0	100.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	80.0	78.0	76.0	88.0
Current DEPTH (ft.)	64.0	NI	NI	NI	78.0	96.0	NI	102.0	130.0	96.0	62.0	57.0	56.0	78.0	76.0	72.0	86.0
STATUS	D	D	D	D	F	F	D	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
READING INTERVAL	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly	Qrtly
DATE OF INSTALLATION	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	3/13/98	3/12/98	3/12/98	9/1998	9/1998	9/1998	8/8/03
DATE FIRST BASE READING	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	12/22/97	3/16/98	3/13/98	3/16/98	10/12/98	10/12/98	10/23/98	8/13/03
DEPTH of MOVEMENT (ft)***	NI	NI	NI	NI	17-22	0-10, 36-38	15.0	40.0	15-17	53, 44	35-38	0-55	54	0-30	8.0	0-25, 77	46, 87
A+ Axis orientation	NI	NI	NI	NI	0	38.0	NI	28.0	22.0	212.0	244.0	258.0	238.0	210.0	224.0	190.0	210 est.
Interpretation Movement (inches)																	
2015-2016	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	<0.05	NR	--	--	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2014-2015	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	0.1	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.1
2013-2014	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	0.1	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.1
2012-2013	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	0.1	NR	--	--	0.15	0.1	--	0.1	--	--	--	0.2
2011-2012	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	<0.05	0.1	0.15	--	0.2	--	--	--	0.1	0.35
2010-2011	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2009-2010	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	0.2	--	0.1	--	--	--	--	0.1
2008-2009	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	NA	--	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2007-2008	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2006-2007	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	<0.1	NR	--	--	0.2	--	--	--	--	0.2	--	0.2
2005-2006	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	0.1	--	--	<0.1	--	0.15	--	0.1
2004-2005	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	0.45	NR	<0.1	0.1	0.5	--	<0.1	0.11	--	--	--	0.35
2003-2004	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2002-2003	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2001-2002	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2000-2001	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
1999-2000	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
1998-1999	NR	NR	NR	NR	--	0.16	NR	0.11	--	2.19	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
1997-1998	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.22	0.4	NR	0.66	0.32	13	0.22	--	--	NR	NR	NR	NR
1996-1997	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NR	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1995-1996	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NR	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

KEY:

D	Destroyed	--	No clearly defined interpreted movement
F	Functioning	NR	No reading
B	New baseline in 1999	NA	Data not available
NI	No information		
--	Shaded yellow to indicate inclinometer does penetrate basal rupture.		
--	Shaded blue to indicate inclinometer does NOT penetrate basal rupture.		
--	Shaded gray to indicate inclinometer is no longer monitored.		

NOTES:


- * Original SI-1 installed in 1978, and was destroyed. SI-65 (installed in 1979) was renamed to SI-1
- ** Original SI-2 installed in 1978, and was destroyed. SI-90 (installed in 1979) was renamed to SI-2
- *** Referenced to current depth of SI (see note below)
- ****SI-4, SI-7, and SI-10 were extended 6 feet upwards during reconstruction of the road in 1999 and interpretations are referenced to their current depth.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinerometer SI-4
 City of Malibu


PLATE C-2a

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinerometer SI-4
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-4

City of Malibu


PLATE C-2c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-4


City of Malibu

PLATE C-2d




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinerometer SI-5
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-5
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-5

City of Malibu


PLATE C-3c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-5


City of Malibu

PLATE C-3d




Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-7
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Calle del Barco, Inclinometer SI-7
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-7

City of Malibu


PLATE C-4c



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-7


City of Malibu

PLATE C-4d




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-8
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-8
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-8

City of Malibu


PLATE C-5c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-8


City of Malibu

PLATE C-5d




Calle del Barco, Inclinometer SI-9
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-9
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-9

City of Malibu


PLATE C-6c



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-9


City of Malibu

PLATE C-6d




Calle del Barco, Inclinometer SI-10
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.




Calle del Barco, Inclinometer SI-10
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-10




Calle del Barco, Inclinator SI-10




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-11
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-11
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-11

City of Malibu


PLATE C-8c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-11

City of Malibu


PLATE C-8d



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-12


City of Malibu

PLATE C-9a



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-12
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-12


City of Malibu

PLATE C-9c




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-12
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-13
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-13
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-13

City of Malibu


PLATE C-10c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-13


City of Malibu

PLATE C-10d




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-14
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-14
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-14

City of Malibu


PLATE C-11c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-14

City of Malibu

PLATE C-11d




CALLE DEL BARCO, Inclinometer SI-15

Depth of readings = 72 ft

PLATE C-12a

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.




CALLE DEL BARCO, Inclinometer SI-15

Depth of readings = 72 ft

PLATE C-12b


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



CALLE DEL BARCO, Inclinator SI-15

Depth of readings = 72 ft


PLATE C-12c



CALLE DEL BARCO, Inclinometer SI-15


Depth of readings = 72 ft

PLATE C-12d




Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-16
 City of Malibu

Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-16
 City of Malibu


Sets marked * include zero shift and/or rotation corrections.



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinometer SI-16

City of Malibu

PLATE C-13c



Assessment District 98-2, Inclinator SI-16

City of Malibu

PLATE C-13d