Malibu City Council
Zoning Ordinance Revisions and
Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES)
Special Meeting Agenda

Tuesday, July 17, 2018
3:00 P.M.
City Hall – Zuma Room
23825 Stuart Ranch Road

Mayor Pro Tem Jefferson Wagner
Councilmember Skylar Peak

Call to Order

Approval of Agenda

Report on Posting of the Agenda – July 13, 2018

Public Comment  This is the time for the public to comment on any items not appearing on this agenda. Each public speaker shall be allowed up to three (3) minutes for comments. The Subcommittee may not discuss or act on any matter not specifically identified on this agenda, pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act.

Discussion Items

1. Approval of Minutes – June 26, 2018

   Recommended action: Approve the minutes of the Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee Special meeting of June 26, 2018.

   Staff Contact: Planning Director Blue, 310-456-2489, ext. 258

2. Preparation of an Amendment to the Malibu Municipal Code to Allow Violations of the Local Coastal Program Local Implementation Plan to Be Abated Under the Malibu Municipal Code

   Recommended action: 1) Provide recommendations on an ordinance amending the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to include code enforcement abatement options for violations of the Local Coastal Program (LCP) Local Implementation Plan (LIP); 2) and recommend whether Council should initiate.

   Staff Contact: Senior Planner Colvard, 310-456-2489, ext. 234
3. Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinance

Recommended Action: Provide recommendations to staff on options for amending the Malibu Local Coastal Program (LCP) and Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to update the City’s existing regulations for accessory dwelling units consistent with State law.

Staff Contact: Planning Consultant Parker-Bozyinski, 310-456-2489, ext. 258

4. Zoning Text Amendment No. 18-002 – An Amendment to Existing Parking Lot Safety Standards Regarding Vehicle Impact Protection Device Impact Standards

Recommended Action: Provide recommendations to staff on options for amending the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) regarding the impact standard for vehicle impact protection devices (VIPDs) in parking lots in the City.

Staff Contact: Planning Consultant Parker-Bozyinski, 310-456-2489, ext. 258

Adjournment

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing agenda was posted in accordance with the applicable legal requirements. Dated July 13, 2018.

Mary Linden, Executive Assistant
Zoning Ordinance Revisions & Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES) Agenda Report

To: Mayor Pro Tem Wagner and Councilmember Peak

Prepared by: Mary Linden, Executive Assistant

Approved by: Bonnie Blue, Planning Director

Date prepared: July 12, 2018

Meeting date: July 17, 2018

Subject: Approval of Minutes – June 26, 2018

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the minutes of the Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES) Special meeting of June 26, 2018.

DISCUSSION: Staff has prepared draft minutes for the ZORACES Special meeting of June 26, 2018 and hereby submits the minutes to the Subcommittee for approval.

ATTACHMENTS: Draft Minutes for the June 26, 2018 ZORACES Special meeting
CALL TO ORDER

Councilmember Peak called the meeting to order at 3:53 p.m.

ROLL CALL

The following persons were recorded in attendance:

PRESENT: Mayor Pro Tem Jefferson Wagner and Councilmember Skylar Peak

ALSO PRESENT: Bonnie Blue, Planning Director; Joyce Parker-Bozylinski, Planning Consultant; and Kathleen Stecko, Senior Office Assistant

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CONSENSUS

By consensus, the Subcommittee approved the agenda.

REPORT ON POSTING OF AGENDA

Senior Office Assistant Stecko reported that the agenda for the meeting was properly posted on June 21, 2018.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Approval of Minutes – October 10, 2017

Recommended Action: Approve the minutes of the Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES) Special meeting of October 10, 2017.

CONSENSUS

By consensus, the Subcommittee approved the minutes of the ZORACES Special meeting of October 10, 2017.
2. **Zoning Text Amendment No. 18-001 — Adding New Provisions to Create Procedures for Recording a Covenant of Easement**

   Recommended Action: 1) Review proposed amendments to the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to add a new chapter to establish procedures for the creation of easements through the execution and recordation of a covenant; 2) and provide recommendations to staff.

   Speakers: Norman Haynie and Mikke Pierson

   **CONSENSUS**

   By consensus, the Subcommittee recommended that staff draft an amendment to the MMC to establish procedures for the creation of easements through the execution and recordation of a covenant applicable to situations where one property owner owns two lots, one of which is landlocked, and needs an access easement across the other lot to get to the public street.

3. **Zoning Text Amendment No. 18-002 — An Amendment to Existing Parking Lot Safety Standards Regarding the Installation of Vehicle Impact Protection Devices**

   Recommended Action: Provide recommendations to staff on options for amending the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to address unique circumstances regarding the installation of vehicle impact protection devices (VIPDs) in existing parking lots in the City.

   Speakers: Carol Randall, Mikke Pierson, Norman Haynie, Steve Uhring, and Mike Nuesca

   **CONSENSUS**

   By consensus, the Subcommittee recommended that staff schedule a Planning Commission meeting to provide City Council with recommendations on amending parking lot safety standards in existing parking lots and provided the following suggestions:

   - Parking space that is only partially adjacent to seating can be exempt
   - Can adjust wall height down if it can meet the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) F3016 standard
   - Owner of property where the parking lot is located, not the property owner where the outdoor seating is located, should comply and be the responsible party
   - City should be the responsible party to install bollards/barriers for City-owned parking
   - Tube steel should meet ASTM F3016 standard and can be used, similar to what is installed on Malibu Canyon Road
   - Rocks can be used if they are of a specific type that does not disintegrate
   - Engineered walls should be allowed if they meet the ASTM F3016 standard
ADJOURNMENT

CONSENSUS

By consensus, the Subcommittee adjourned the meeting at 5:06 p.m.

Approved and adopted by the Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee of the City of Malibu on _______________, 2018.

______________________________
JEFFERSON WAGNER, Mayor Pro Tem

ATTEST:

______________________________
MARY LINDEN, Executive Assistant
Preparation of an Amendment to the Malibu Municipal Code to Allow Violations of the Local Coastal Program Local Implementation Plan to Be Abated Under the Malibu Municipal Code

RECOMMENDED ACTION: 1) Provide recommendations on an ordinance amending the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to include code enforcement abatement options for violations of the Local Coastal Program (LCP) Local Implementation Plan (LIP); 2) and recommend whether Council should initiate.

DISCUSSION: The City currently has multiple tools for obtaining compliance for code violations when a violation of the MMC occurs, including administrative citations, notices of violation and prosecutory proceedings. The LCP, however, does not contain the same tools for compliance and currently limits code enforcement abatement options to civil injunctions through the City Attorney’s office. Civil injunctions are time consuming and often times it is difficult for the City to recoup the fees once the court proceedings have ended. Civil injunctions can also be seen as being ‘heavy-handed’ when more simplistic abatement options, such as an administrative citation, may be a more appropriate response to the violation. An amendment to the MMC could incorporate the same code enforcement abatement options as are presently allowed in the MMC for violations of the LCP.

Examples of LCP violations that are not violations of MMC include, but are not limited to:

1) **Construction of a fence in Point Dume on slopes steeper than 4:1**

Pursuant to LCP LIP Section 4.6.1, Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area (ESHA) exists in the Point Dume neighborhood on slopes steeper than 4:1 that have the
potential to drain into streams or riparian habitat. While development standards that regulate fencing are found in the MMC, the MMC does not regulate development on 4:1 slopes.

2) Unpermitted removal of native protected trees

LCP LIP Chapter 5 includes provisions to protect native trees. These provisions include siting proposed development away from native protected trees or, in the event that encroachment into a native tree protected zone cannot be avoided, mitigation procedures are required. These provisions are not included in the MMC.

Other examples may include, but are not limited to:

- Unpermitted clearance of ESHA;
- Blocking or altering public access to trails or other recreational opportunities;
- Remedial grading in quantities of less than 2,500 cubic yards; and
- Shoreline and bluff setback encroachments.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA): It is anticipated that the ordinance would be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act under the common sense exemption of CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3). This provision states that CEQA review is not required when there is no possibility that the ordinance may have a significant adverse effect on the environment. This ordinance is intended to enhance code compliance which is anticipated to reduce adverse physical effects on the environment and would further be exempt under CEQA Guidelines Section 15307.

STAFF FOLLOW-UP: Staff requests that ZORACES provide comments and recommendations on the draft ordinance.

ATTACHMENTS: None.
Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES) Agenda Report

To: Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Code Enforcement Subcommittee (ZORACES) Members Wagner and Peak

Prepared by: Joyce Parker-Bozyinski, Planning Consultant

Approved by: Bonnie Blue, Planning Director

Date prepared: July 9, 2018  Meeting date: July 17, 2018

Subject: Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinance

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Provide recommendations to staff on options for amending the Malibu Local Coastal Program (LCP) and Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) to update the City’s existing regulations for accessory dwelling units consistent with State law.

DISCUSSION: On June 11, 2018, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 18-28 initiating amendments to the Malibu Local Coastal Program (LCP) and Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) regarding accessory dwelling units (second units). The code amendments will update the City’s current LCP and MMC regulations for second units consistent with the recent changes in State law. The LCP amendment will require certification by the California Coastal Commission (CCC). CCC staff have indicated that they will process ADU–specific LCPAs as minor or de minimis amendments whenever possible. Other than creating a streamlined permit process and reconciling terminology between State law and local ordinances, the proposed amendments would not make substantive changes to the way the City currently addresses second units in terms of size, number allowed on a property, parking and other key standards.

In 2016, in an effort to increase affordable housing opportunities, the State of California adopted three laws regarding Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs): SB 1069, AB 2299 and AB 2406. These laws went into effect in 2017. An Accessory Dwelling Unit Memorandum with Frequently Asked Questions from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is included as Attachment A, and a summary of the three laws is included as Attachment B.

The new regulations are intended to reduce the regulatory, physical and financial barriers to constructing ADUs, which are seen as an opportunity for providing affordable housing. SB 2299 and SB 1069 made changes to local agencies’ authority to regulate second units.
The most notable provisions include amended provisions for types of units and sizes, reduced parking, approval process and timelines, and utility requirements. It is important to note that State law still authorizes local agencies to adopt additional restrictions to regulate ADUs, as long as the additional restrictions do not conflict with regulations established in State law. AB 2406 (Junior Accessory Dwelling Units), which was adopted after SB 1069 and AB 2299, was enacted to authorize local governments to permit junior accessory dwelling units to encourage the construction of smaller units, which often result in more affordable housing options, for seniors, young adults, and others who are affected by the affordable housing shortage in California.

Relationship to Coastal Act / LCP

Essentially, State legislation pertaining to accessory dwelling units is intended to supersede a city’s regulations for secondary dwelling units. However, as noted in a memorandum issued by CCC (Attachment C), the State legislation does not supersede the requirements of the California Coastal Act; therefore, ADUs are still subject to permitting requirements under the Coastal Act and, where a local government has a certified Local Coastal Program, it is subject to that LCP. When the Legislature amended the law relative to accessory dwelling units, they included in the statute that the new regulations should not be interpreted to “supersede or in any way alter or lessen the effect or application of the California Coastal Act...except that the local government shall not be required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for accessory dwelling units.” (Gov. Code 65852.2 subd. (j)). Since the City of Malibu has a certified LCP, the LCP still applies, but ADUs must be processed administratively, meaning, without a public hearing, but still pursuant to a coastal development permit.

As part of the amendment process, the terminology in the LCP and MMC pertaining to “second units” will be changed to “accessory dwelling units.” As defined in the LCP and MMC, second units contain cooking facilities. Guest units, sometimes referred to as “guest houses,” which by definition do not include cooking facilities, are also allowed in the City, but staff is not proposing any changes to guest unit/guest house regulations. Staff is proposing to define accessory dwelling unit (and replace the second unit) as follows:

**Accessory Dwelling Unit** – A dwelling unit providing complete independent living facilities for one or more persons that is located on a parcel with another primary, single-family dwelling as defined by State law. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family dwelling’s location. An accessory dwelling unit may be within the same structure as the primary unit, in an attached structure, or in a separate structure on the same parcel.
ADU Processing

The Government Code (Section 65852.3(a)(3)) requires that the City consider an application for an ADU ministerially without discretionary review within 120 days after receiving a complete application. However, since the LCP requires second units to be processed with a CDP rather than ministerially, staff is considering two options for processing ADUs; neither option would require a public hearing, but would still satisfy the LCP. The first option would use the City’s existing Administrative Coastal Development Permit process, which allows the Director to issue the permit with a report to the Planning Commission. The LCP (Section 13.13.1(B)) indicates as follows: “Notwithstanding any other provisions of the LCP, attached or detached second dwelling units shall be processed as administrative permits, except that the approval of such permits shall be appealable to the Coastal Commission if the project is located in the appealable zone.” The second option would be to establish a new permit type called Minor Coastal Development Permit. This permit type exists in other cities’ LCPs and can be used for approving second units without a public hearing. Regardless of which permit type is ultimately approved, staff would develop a separate set of streamlined findings, similar to what the LCP utilizes for an “Onsite Wastewater Treatment System-Only CDP,” that would be consistent with the LCP but following the legislative intent to minimize the burdens on processing ADU applications.

Proposed Accessory Dwelling Unit Standards

Table A below provides a comparison of State, Existing City and Proposed City Standards for ADUs. In addition, an ADU must meet the Building Code, and Environmental Health approval is required where a private septic system is being used, which is almost everywhere in Malibu.

Staff is seeking feedback from ZORACES on the proposed ADU standards, including whether the City should maintain existing standards and practices as it relates to unit size, and if there should be a requirement for owner occupancy of the primary residence and deed restrictions. In addition, staff is seeking feedback on Junior ADUs as discussed in the section following Table A. In Table A, under Proposed Standard, while many of the standards will not change, the language in the State standard will be included in the ADU ordinance in order to have all the regulations in one location of the MMC and LCP.

---

1 A minor CDP may also serve as a useful permitting vehicle for other de minimis development types that do not easily fit within the LCP’s current CDP exemptions under LIP Section 13.4. Such issues would be addressed under a separate LCP amendment in the future.

2 See Local Implementation Plan Sections 13.13 and 13.29
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>State Standard</th>
<th>Existing Malibu Standard</th>
<th>Proposed Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Permitted on lots zoned for single-family or multi-family residential ADU must be located on same lot as existing or proposed single-family dwelling</td>
<td>Second units allowed in Rural Residential (RR), Single-family (SF), Multi-family (MF), Multi-family Beach Front (MFBF) zones and Planned Development (PD) Must be located in the approved development area for the site and clustered with primary dwelling to minimize required fuel modification</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Size</td>
<td>Attached: up to 50% of existing living area; maximum 1,200 sq. ft. Detached: 1,200 sq. ft. maximum</td>
<td>900 sq. ft. for second unit, with 400 sq. ft. garage 900 sq. ft. for second unit, with 400 sq. ft. garage</td>
<td>Up to 50% of existing living area of primary residence, up to 900 sq. ft., with 400 sq. ft. garage 900 sq. ft. for ADU, with 400 sq. ft. garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Maximum one per lot with an existing or proposed single family dwelling</td>
<td>One second unit per lot with single-family dwelling Development of second residential unit requires that a primary dwelling unit be developed on the lot prior to or concurrent with the second residential unit.</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passageway</td>
<td>Cannot be required between main residence and detached ADU</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>Cannot be required between main residence and detached ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>State Standard</td>
<td>Existing Malibu Standard</td>
<td>Proposed Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks</td>
<td>No setback change shall be required for an existing garage that is converted to an ADU but ADU must meet Building and Fire Code.</td>
<td>Remodel of existing garage can maintain setbacks with Fire Dept. approval and less than 50% walls demolished</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A setback of no more than 5 feet from a side or rear lot line can be required for an ADU that is constructed above a garage</td>
<td>Additions over existing garage must meet setbacks</td>
<td>A setback of no more than 5 feet from a side or rear lot line can be required for an ADU that is constructed above a garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>A maximum of one space is required. Spaces may be provided as covered, uncovered, tandem parking on a driveway or with mechanical lifts. No parking required if the unit:</td>
<td>One parking space per second unit.</td>
<td>One space is required. Spaces may be provided as covered, uncovered, or tandem parking on a driveway. No parking required if the unit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Is located within a 1/2 mile from a public transit stop.</td>
<td>- Is located within an existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure.</td>
<td>- Is located within a 1/2 mile from a public transit stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Is located within an historic district.</td>
<td>- Is located an area where on-street parking permits are required but are not offered to the occupant of the ADU.</td>
<td>- Is located within an existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Is located within an existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Is located an area where on-street parking permits are required but are not offered to the occupant of the ADU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>State Standard</td>
<td>Existing Malibu Standard</td>
<td>Proposed Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occupant of the ADU.</td>
<td>- Is located within one block of a car share service.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Is located within one block of a car share service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Sprinklers</td>
<td>ADUs are not required to provide fire sprinklers if they were not required for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the principal residence</td>
<td>Fire Dept. currently requires fire sprinklers in all new single-family dwellings, so they would be required in a new ADU; not required if not required in the existing principal residence</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Connection fees or capacity charges must be proportionate to the impact of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADU based on either its size or number of plumbing fixtures</td>
<td>Current City requirement.</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage Conversion -</td>
<td>Replacement spaces may be located in any configuration on the same lot as the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When garage, carport,</td>
<td>ADU, including but not limited to, as covered spaces, uncovered spaces, or</td>
<td>Replacement spaces must meet current code, i.e. if enclosed parking, must be replaced with enclosed parking.</td>
<td>Require replacement parking, as enclosed, unenclosed, tandem, or the use of mechanical automobile lifts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or covered parking</td>
<td>tandem spaces, or the use of mechanical automobile lifts.</td>
<td></td>
<td>but prohibit in areas which encroach into sensitive coastal resources such as ESHA or coastal bluff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structure is demolished</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>setbacks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to construct an ADU or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>converted to an ADU and</td>
<td>City requires parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupancy</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental</td>
<td>Unit may be rented separate from the primary residence but may not be sold or</td>
<td>Second unit cannot be sold separately without a subdivision. Can be</td>
<td>ADU may not be sold or otherwise conveyed separate from the primary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>State Standard</th>
<th>Existing Malibu Standard</th>
<th>Proposed Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>otherwise conveyed separate from the primary residence.</td>
<td>rented short or long term.</td>
<td>residence. Can be rented short or long term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deed restriction³</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Junior ADU**

Another question for ZORACES consideration is whether the City should adopt a Junior ADU (JADU) ordinance. Adopting a JADU ordinance is optional. A JADU is a unit in an existing or proposed single-family dwelling. It must have separate exterior access and maintain interior access to the rest of the house. An efficiency kitchen is required, but separate bathrooms are not; sharing a bathroom with the primary single-family dwelling is allowed. The maximum size of a JADU is 500 square feet. No parking can be required, and owner occupancy of the primary single-family dwelling is required. Due to their limited size, JADUs are often more affordable by design and the Department of Housing and Community Development has indicated they would count the units, if they meet the affordability criteria, towards the City’s Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RNHA) requirements.

A JADU ordinance may not be necessary because standard ADUs can be created in an existing or proposed single family dwelling in much the same way as a JADU but, in those instances, owner occupancy would not be required, and the unit could be up to 900 square feet in size. A separate bathroom and kitchen would also be required and one parking space could be required. If the City chose to adopt a JADU ordinance, the following provisions need to be included:

1. Limit the number of JADUs to one per existing single-family residence;
2. Require owner-occupancy of the single-family residence in which the JADU is located;
3. Prohibit the sale of the JADU separate from the sale of the single-family residence;
4. Require the inclusion of an existing bedroom in the JADU;
5. Require that the JADU have a separate entrance with an interior entry to the main living area;
6. Require that the JADU have an efficiency kitchen (sink with a maximum waste line diameter of 1.5 inches; cooking facility with appliances that do not require electrical service greater than 120 volts or natural or propane gas; food preparation counter and storage cabinets that are of reasonable size in relation to the size of the JADU);

³ A deed restriction can be placed on the property if the City’s ordinance requires standards such as owner occupancy.
7. Require an inspection, including the imposition of a fee for that inspection, to determine whether the JADU is in compliance with applicable building standards; and

8. Require that a covenant be recorded with the County Recorder’s Office detailing the restriction on the size and attributes of the junior accessory dwelling unit as set forth above.

As mentioned previously, the ordinance cannot require additional parking as a condition to grant a permit for JADU. Additionally, JADUs cannot be considered a separate or new dwelling unit for the purposes of water, sewer or power connection fees. No new requirements or connections are needed as these have already been accounted for in the original permit for the home.

The main differences between a standard ADU located inside a single-family residence as opposed to the JADU would be the size limit of 500 square feet, the ability to have shared bathroom facilities with the main unit, the provisions for a small efficiency kitchen with limited components, requirement for owner occupancy and no required parking. JADUs were created to offer a simple affordable housing option and could offer homeowners another option to create affordable housing without adding additional utility services or infrastructure because they are essentially repurposed bedrooms. It would be similar to having a roommate. While JADUs would provide the City an opportunity to increase the number of affordable units in the City that could be counted towards the City’s RHNA, staff is recommending that the City not move forward with a JADU ordinance at this time since similar types of units could be built under the standard ADU ordinance, they would not require owner occupancy (which the City would have to monitor) and parking could be required.

STAFF FOLLOW-UP: Staff requests that ZORACES provide comments and recommendations on MMC/LCP amendments relative to the City’s existing second unit regulations. The amendments will then be drafted and presented to the Planning Commission for a public hearing and a recommendation to the City Council, unless ZORACES requests further review.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) Planning Department staff has determined that the proposed zoning text amendment is categorically exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the proposed code amendment will have a significant effect on the environment. The ordinance changes are required by State law and are not expected to result in significant changes from current development standards.

ATTACHMENTS:
A. HCD Memorandum
B. Summary of State Laws
C. California Coastal Commission Memoranda
# Table of Contents

Understanding ADUs and Their Importance ................................................................. 1
Summary of Recent Changes to Accessory Dwelling Unit Laws .................................... 3
Frequently Asked Questions: Accessory Dwelling Units .............................................. 7

- Should an Ordinance Encourage the Development of ADUs? ..................................... 7
- Are Existing Ordinances Null and Void? ...................................................................... 7
- Are Local Governments Required to Adopt an Ordinance? ..................................... 8
- Can a Local Government Preclude ADUs? ................................................................ 8
- Can a Local Government Apply Development Standards and Designate Areas? ........ 8
- Can a Local Government Adopt Less Restrictive Requirements? .............................. 8
- Can Local Governments Establish Minimum and Maximum Unit Sizes? .................... 9
- Can ADUs Exceed General Plan and Zoning Densities? ............................................. 9
- How Are Fees Charged to ADUs? .............................................................................. 10
- What Utility Fee Requirements Apply to ADUs.......................................................... 11
- What Utility Fee Requirements Apply to Non-City and County Service Districts? .... 10
- Do Utility Fee Requirements Apply to ADUs within Existing Space? ......................... 10
- Does “Public Transit” Include within One-half Mile of a Bus Stop and Train Station? ... 10
- Can Parking Be Required Where a Car Share is Available? ........................................ 11
- Is Off Street Parking Permitted in Setback Areas or through Tandem Parking? ........ 11
- Is Covered Parking Required? .................................................................................. 11
- Is Replacement Parking Required When the Parking Area for the Primary Structure is Used for an ADU? .................................................................................. 11
- Are Setbacks Required When an Existing Garage is Converted to an ADU? ............... 11
- Are ADUs Permitted in Existing Residence and Accessory Space? ............................ 12
- Are Owner Occupants Required? ............................................................................. 12
- Are Fire Sprinklers Required for ADUs? .................................................................... 12
- Is Manufactured Housing Permitted as an ADU? ....................................................... 13
- Can an Efficiency Unit Be Smaller than 220 Square Feet? ........................................ 13
- Does ADU Law Apply to Charter Cities and Counties? .............................................. 13
- Do ADUs Count toward the Regional Housing Need Allocation ................................ 14
- Must Ordinances Be Submitted to the Department of Housing and Community Development? .................................................... 14
Frequently Asked Questions: Junior Accessory Dwelling Units .................................................. 15

Is There a Difference between ADU and JADU? ........................................................................... 15
Why Adopt a JADU Ordinance? ........................................................................................................ 17
Can JADUs Count towards The RHNA? ......................................................................................... 16
Can the JADU Be Sold Independent of the Primary Dwelling? ...................................................... 16
Are JADUs Subject to Connection and Capacity Fees? .................................................................. 16
Are There Requirements for Fire Separation and Fire Sprinklers? ............................................... 17

Resources ........................................................................................................................................ 18

Attachment 1: Statutory Changes (Strikeout/Underline) ................................................................. 19
Attachment 2: Sample ADU Ordinance .......................................................................................... 25
Attachment 3: Sample JADU Ordinance ......................................................................................... 28
Attachment 4: State Standards Checklist ...................................................................................... 31
Attachment 5: Bibliography ............................................................................................................. 32
Understanding Accessory Dwelling Units and Their Importance

California’s housing production is not keeping pace with demand. In the last decade less than half of the needed housing was built. This lack of housing is impacting affordability with average housing costs in California exceeding the rest of the nation. As affordability becomes more problematic, people drive longer distances between a home that is affordable and where they work, or double up to share space, both of which reduces quality of life and produces negative environmental impacts.

Beyond traditional market-rate construction and government subsidized production and preservation there are alternative housing models and emerging trends that can contribute to addressing home supply and affordability in California. One such example gaining popularity are Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) (also referred to as second units, in-law units, or granny flats).

What is an ADU

An ADU is a secondary dwelling unit with complete independent living facilities for one or more persons and generally takes three forms:

- **Detached**: The unit is separated from the primary structure
- **Attached**: The unit is attached to the primary structure
- **Repurposed Existing Space**: Space (e.g., master bedroom) within the primary residence is converted into an independent living unit
- **Junior Accessory Dwelling Units**: Similar to repurposed space with various streamlining measures

ADUs offer benefits that address common development barriers such as affordability and environmental quality. ADUs are an affordable type of home to construct in California because they do not require paying for land, major new infrastructure, structured parking, or elevators. ADUs are built with cost-effective one- or two-story wood frame construction, which is significantly less costly than homes in new multifamily infill buildings. ADUs can provide as much living space as the new apartments and condominiums being built in new infill buildings and serve very well for couples, small families, friends, young people, and seniors.

ADUs are a different form of housing that can help California meet its diverse housing needs. Young professionals and students desire to live in areas close to jobs, amenities, and schools. The problem with high-opportunity areas is that space is limited. There is a shortage of affordable units and the units that are available can be out of reach for many people. To address the needs of individuals or small families seeking living quarters in high opportunity areas, homeowners can construct an ADU on their lot or convert an underutilized part of their home like a garage.
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into a junior ADU. This flexibility benefits not just people renting the space, but the homeowner as well, who can receive an extra monthly rent income.

ADUs give homeowners the flexibility to share independent living areas with family members and others, allowing seniors to age in place as they require more care and helping extended families to be near one another while maintaining privacy.

Relaxed regulations and the cost to build an ADU make it a very feasible affordable housing option. A UC Berkeley study noted that one unit of affordable housing in the Bay Area costs about $500,000 to develop whereas an ADU can range anywhere up to $200,000 on the expensive end in high housing cost areas.

ADUs are a critical form of infill-development that can be affordable and offer important housing choices within existing neighborhoods. ADUs are a powerful type of housing unit because they allow for different uses, and serve different populations ranging from students and young professionals to young families, people with disabilities and senior citizens. By design, ADUs are more affordable and can provide additional income to homeowners. Local governments can encourage the development of ADUs and improve access to jobs, education and services for many Californians.
Summary of Recent Changes to ADU Laws

The California legislature found and declared that, among other things, allowing accessory dwelling units (ADUs) in single family and multifamily zones provides additional rental housing and are an essential component in addressing housing needs in California. Over the years, ADU law has been revised to improve its effectiveness such as recent changes in 2003 to require ministerial approval. In 2017, changes to ADU laws will further reduce barriers, better streamline approval and expand capacity to accommodate the development of ADUs.

ADUs are a unique opportunity to address a variety of housing needs and provide affordable housing options for family members, friends, students, the elderly, in-home health care providers, the disabled, and others. Further, ADUs offer an opportunity to maximize and integrate housing choices within existing neighborhoods.

Within this context, the Department has prepared this guidance to assist local governments in encouraging the development of ADUs. Please see Attachment 1 for the complete statutory changes. The following is a brief summary of the changes for each bill.

SB 1069 (Wieckowski)

S.B. 1069 (Chapter 720, Statutes of 2016) made several changes to address barriers to the development of ADUs and expanded capacity for their development. The following is a brief summary of provisions that go into effect January 1, 2017.

Parking

SB 1069 reduces parking requirements to one space per bedroom or unit. The legislation authorizes off street parking to be tandem or in setback areas unless specific findings such as fire and life safety conditions are made. SB 1069 also prohibits parking requirements if the ADU meets any of the following:

- Is within a half mile from public transit.
- Is within an architecturally and historically significant historic district.
- Is part of an existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure.
- Is in an area where on-street parking permits are required, but not offered to the occupant of the ADU.
- Is located within one block of a car share area.
Fees

SB 1069 provides that ADUs shall not be considered new residential uses for the purpose of calculating utility connection fees or capacity charges, including water and sewer service. The bill prohibits a local agency from requiring an ADU applicant to install a new or separate utility connection or impose a related connection fee or capacity charge for ADUs that are contained within an existing residence or accessory structure. For attached and detached ADUs, this fee or charge must be proportionate to the burden of the unit on the water or sewer system and may not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service.

Fire Requirements

SB 1069 provides that fire sprinklers shall not be required in an accessory unit if they are not required in the primary residence.

ADUs within Existing Space

Local governments must ministerially approve an application to create within a single family residential zone one ADU per single family lot if the unit is:

- contained within an existing residence or accessory structure.
- has independent exterior access from the existing residence.
- has side and rear setbacks that are sufficient for fire safety.

These provisions apply within all single family residential zones and ADUs within existing space must be allowed in all of these zones. No additional parking or other development standards can be applied except for building code requirements.

No Total Prohibition

SB 1069 prohibits a local government from adopting an ordinance that precludes ADUs.

AB 2299 (Bloom)

Generally, AB 2299 (Chapter 735, Statutes of 2016) requires a local government (beginning January 1, 2017) to ministerially approve ADUs if the unit complies with certain parking requirements, the maximum allowable size of an attached ADU, and setback requirements, as follows:

- The unit is not intended for sale separate from the primary residence and may be rented.
- The lot is zoned for single-family or multifamily use and contains an existing, single-family dwelling.
- The unit is either attached to an existing dwelling or located within the living area of the existing dwelling or detached and on the same lot.
- The increased floor area of the unit does not exceed 50% of the existing living area, with a maximum increase in floor area of 1,200 square feet.
- The total area of floorspace for a detached accessory dwelling unit does not exceed 1,200 square feet.
- No passageway can be required.
- No setback can be required from an existing garage that is converted to an ADU.
• Compliance with local building code requirements.
• Approval by the local health officer where private sewage disposal system is being used.

Impact on Existing Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinances

AB 2299 provides that any existing ADU ordinance that does not meet the bill’s requirements is null and void upon the date the bill becomes effective. In such cases, a jurisdiction must approve accessory dwelling units based on Government Code Section 65852.2 until the jurisdiction adopts a compliant ordinance.

AB 2406 (Thurmond)

AB 2406 (Chapter 755, Statutes of 2016) creates more flexibility for housing options by authorizing local governments to permit junior accessory dwelling units (JADU) through an ordinance. The bill defines JADUs to be a unit that cannot exceed 500 square feet and must be completely contained within the space of an existing residential structure. In addition, the bill requires specified components for a local JADU ordinance. Adoption of a JADU ordinance is optional.

Required Components

The ordinance authorized by AB 2406 must include the following requirements:

• Limit to one JADU per residential lot zoned for single-family residences with a single-family residence already built on the lot.
• The single-family residence in which the JADU is created or JADU must be occupied by the owner of the residence.
• The owner must record a deed restriction stating that the JADU cannot be sold separately from the single-family residence and restricting the JADU to the size limitations and other requirements of the JADU ordinance.
• The JADU must be located entirely within the existing structure of the single-family residence and JADU have its own separate entrance.
• The JADU must include an efficiency kitchen which includes a sink, cooking appliance, counter surface, and storage cabinets that meet minimum building code standards. No gas or 220V circuits are allowed.
• The JADU may share a bath with the primary residence or have its own bath.

Prohibited Components

This bill prohibits a local JADU ordinance from requiring:

• Additional parking as a condition to grant a permit.
• Applying additional water, sewer and power connection fees. No connections are needed as these utilities have already been accounted for in the original permit for the home.
Fire Safety Requirements

AB 2406 clarifies that a JADU is to be considered part of the single-family residence for the purposes of fire and life protections ordinances and regulations, such as sprinklers and smoke detectors. The bill also requires life and protection ordinances that affect single-family residences to be applied uniformly to all single-family residences, regardless of the presence of a JADU.

JADUs and the RHNA

As part of the housing element portion of their general plan, local governments are required to identify sites with appropriate zoning that will accommodate projected housing needs in their regional housing need allocation (RHNA) and report on their progress pursuant to Government Code Section 65400. To credit a JADU toward the RHNA, HCD and the Department of Finance (DOF) utilize the census definition of a housing unit which is fairly flexible. Local government count units as part of reporting to DOF. JADUs meet these definitions and this bill would allow cities and counties to earn credit toward meeting their RHNA allocations by permitting residents to create less costly accessory units. See additional discussion under JADU frequently asked questions.
Frequently Asked Questions: Accessory Dwelling Units

Should an Ordinance Encourage the Development of ADUs?

Yes, ADU law and recent changes intend to address barriers, streamline approval and expand potential capacity for ADUs recognizing their unique importance in addressing California’s housing needs. The preparation, adoption, amendment and implementation of local ADU ordinances must be carried out consistent with Government Code Section 65852.150:

(a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

1. Accessory dwelling units are a valuable form of housing in California.
2. Accessory dwelling units provide housing for family members, students, the elderly, in-home health care providers, the disabled, and others, at below market prices within existing neighborhoods.
3. Homeowners who create accessory dwelling units benefit from added income, and an increased sense of security.
4. Allowing accessory dwelling units in single-family or multifamily residential zones provides additional rental housing stock in California.
5. California faces a severe housing crisis.
6. The state is falling far short of meeting current and future housing demand with serious consequences for the state’s economy, our ability to build green infill consistent with state greenhouse gas reduction goals, and the well-being of our citizens, particularly lower and middle-income earners.
7. Accessory dwelling units offer lower cost housing to meet the needs of existing and future residents within existing neighborhoods, while respecting architectural character.
8. Accessory dwelling units are, therefore, an essential component of California’s housing supply.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature that an accessory dwelling unit ordinance adopted by a local agency has the effect of providing for the creation of accessory dwelling units and that provisions in this ordinance relating to matters including unit size, parking, fees, and other requirements, are not so arbitrary, excessive, or burdensome so as to unreasonably restrict the ability of homeowners to create accessory dwelling units in zones in which they are authorized by local ordinance.

Are Existing Ordinances Null and Void?

Yes, any local ordinance adopted prior to January 1, 2017 that is not in compliance with the changes to ADU law will be null and void. Until an ordinance is adopted, local governments must apply “state standards” (See Attachment 4 for State Standards checklist). In the absence of a local ordinance complying with ADU law, local review must be limited to “state standards” and cannot include additional requirements such as those in an existing ordinance.
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Are Local Governments Required to Adopt an Ordinance?

No, a local government is not required to adopt an ordinance. ADUs built within a jurisdiction that lacks a local ordinance must comply with state standards (See Attachment 4). Adopting an ordinance can occur through different forms such as a new ordinance, amendment to an existing ordinance, separate section or special regulations within the zoning code or integrated into the zoning code by district. However, the ordinance should be established legislatively through a public process and meeting and not through internal administrative actions such as memos or zoning interpretations.

Can a Local Government Preclude ADUs?

No local government cannot preclude ADUs.

Can a Local Government Apply Development Standards and Designate Areas?

Yes, local governments may apply development standards and may designate where ADUs are permitted (GC Sections 65852.2(a)(1)(A) and (B)). However, ADUs within existing structures must be allowed in all single family residential zones.

For ADUs that require an addition or a new accessory structure, development standards such as parking, height, lot coverage, lot size and maximum unit size can be established with certain limitations. ADUs can be avoided or allowed through an ancillary and separate discretionary process in areas with health and safety risks such as high fire hazard areas. However, standards and allowable areas must not be designed or applied in a manner that burdens the development of ADUs and should maximize the potential for ADU development. Designating areas where ADUs are allowed should be approached primarily on health and safety issues including water, sewer, traffic flow and public safety. Utilizing approaches such as restrictive overlays, limiting ADUs to larger lot sizes, burdensome lot coverage and setbacks and particularly concentration or distance requirements (e.g., no less than 500 feet between ADUs) may unreasonably restrict the ability of the homeowners to create ADUs, contrary to the intent of the Legislature.

Requiring large minimum lot sizes and not allowing smaller lot sizes for ADUs can severely restrict their potential development. For example, large minimum lot sizes for ADUs may constrict capacity throughout most of the community. Minimum lot sizes cannot be applied to ADUs within existing structures and could be considered relative to health and safety concerns such as areas on septic systems. While larger lot sizes might be targeted for various reasons such as ease of compatibility, many tools are available (e.g., maximum unit size, maximum lot coverage, minimum setbacks, architectural and landscape requirements) that allows ADUs to fit well within the built environment.

Can a Local Government Adopt Less Restrictive Requirements?

Yes, ADU law is a minimum requirement and its purpose is to encourage the development of ADUs. Local governments can take a variety of actions beyond the statute that promote ADUs such as reductions in fees, less restrictive parking or unit sizes or amending general plan policies.
Santa Cruz has confronted a shortage of housing for many years, considering its growth in population from incoming students at UC Santa Cruz and its proximity to Silicon Valley. The city promoted the development of ADUs as critical infill-housing opportunity through various strategies such as creating a manual to promote ADUs. The manual showcases prototypes of ADUs and outlines city zoning laws and requirements to make it more convenient for homeowners to get information. The City found that homeowners will take time to develop an ADU only if information is easy to find, the process is simple, and there is sufficient guidance on what options they have in regards to design and planning.

The city set the minimum lot size requirement at 4,500 sq. ft. to develop an ADU in order to encourage more homes to build an ADU. This allowed for a majority of single-family homes in Santa Cruz to develop an ADU. For more information, see http://www.cityofsantacruz.com/departments/planning-and-community-development/programs/accessory-dwelling-unit-development-program.

Can Local Governments Establish Minimum and Maximum Unit Sizes?

Yes, a local government may establish minimum and maximum unit sizes (GC Section 65852.2(c). However, like all development standards (e.g., height, lot coverage, lot size), unit sizes should not burden the development of ADUs. For example, setting a minimum unit size that substantially increases costs or a maximum unit size that unreasonably restricts opportunities would be inconsistent with the intent of the statute. Typical maximum unit sizes range from 800 square feet to 1,200 square feet. Minimum unit size must at least allow for an efficiency unit as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 17958.1.

ADU law requires local government approval if meeting various requirements (GC Section 65852.2(a)(1)(D)), including unit size requirements. Specifically, attached ADUs shall not exceed 50 percent of the existing living area or 1,200 square feet and detached ADUs shall not exceed 1,200 square feet. A local government may choose a maximum unit size less than 1,200 square feet as long as the requirement is not burdensome on the creation of ADUs.

Can ADUs Exceed General Plan and Zoning Densities?

An ADU is an accessory use for the purposes of calculating allowable density under the general plan and zoning. For example, if a zoning district allows one unit per 7,500 square feet, then an ADU would not be counted as an additional unit. Minimum lot sizes must not be doubled (e.g., 15,000 square feet) to account for an ADU. Further, local governments could elect to allow more than one ADU on a lot.

New developments can increase the total number of affordable units in their project plans by integrating ADUs. Aside from increasing the total number of affordable units, integrating ADUs also promotes housing choices within a development. One such example is the Cannery project in Davis, CA. The Cannery project includes 547 residential units with up to 60 integrated ADUs. ADUs within the Cannery blend in with surrounding architecture, maintaining compatibility with neighborhoods and enhancing community character. ADUs are constructed at the same time as the primary single-family unit to ensure the affordable rental unit is available in the housing supply concurrent with the availability of market rate housing.
How Are Fees Charged to ADUs?

All impact fees, including water, sewer, park and traffic fees must be charged in accordance with the Fee Mitigation Act, which requires fees to be proportional to the actual impact (e.g., significantly less than a single family home).

Fees on ADUs, must proportionately account for impact on services based on the size of the ADU or number of plumbing fixtures. For example, a 700 square foot new ADU with one bathroom that results in less landscaping should be charged much less than a 2,000 square foot home with three bathrooms and an entirely new landscaped parcel which must be irrigated. Fees for ADUs should be significantly less and should account for a lesser impact such as lower sewer or traffic impacts.

What Utility Fee Requirements Apply to ADUs?

Cities and counties cannot consider ADUs as new residential uses when calculating connection fees and capacity charges.

Where ADUs are being created within an existing structure (primary or accessory), the city or county cannot require a new or separate utility connections for the ADU and cannot charge any connection fee or capacity charge.

For other ADUs, a local agency may require separate utility connections between the primary dwelling and the ADU, but any connection fee or capacity charge must be proportionate to the impact of the ADU based on either its size or the number of plumbing fixtures.

What Utility Fee Requirements Apply to Non-City and County Service Districts?

All local agencies must charge impact fees in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act (commencing with Government Code Section 66000), including in particular Section 66013, which requires the connection fees and capacity charges to be proportionate to the burden posed by the ADU. Special districts and non-city and county service districts must account for the lesser impact related to an ADU and should base fees on unit size or number of plumbing fixtures. Providers should consider a proportionate or sliding scale fee structures that address the smaller size and lesser impact of ADUs (e.g., fees per square foot or fees per fixture). Fee waivers or deferrals could be considered to better promote the development of ADUs.

Do Utility Fee Requirements Apply to ADUs within Existing Space?

No, where ADUs are being created within an existing structure (primary or accessory), new or separate utility connections and fees (connection and capacity) must not be required.

Does “Public Transit” Include within One-half Mile of a Bus Stop and Train Station?

Yes, “public transit” may include a bus stop, train station and paratransit if appropriate for the applicant. “Public transit” includes areas where transit is available and can be considered regardless of tighter headways (e.g., 15 minute intervals). Local governments could consider a broader definition of “public transit” such as distance to a bus route.
Can Parking Be Required Where a Car Share Is Available?

No, ADU law does not allow parking to be required when there is a car share located within a block of the ADU. A car share location includes a designated pick up and drop off location. Local governments can measure a block from a pick up and drop off location and can decide to adopt broader distance requirements such as two to three blocks.

Is Off Street Parking Permitted in Setback Areas or through Tandem Parking?

Yes, ADU law deliberately reduces parking requirements. Local governments may make specific findings that tandem parking and parking in setbacks are infeasible based on specific site, regional topographical or fire and life safety conditions or that tandem parking or parking in setbacks is not permitted anywhere else in the jurisdiction. However, these determinations should be applied in a manner that does not unnecessarily restrict the creation of ADUs.

Local governments must provide reasonable accommodation to persons with disabilities to promote equal access housing and comply with fair housing laws and housing element law. The reasonable accommodation procedure must provide exception to zoning and land use regulations which includes an ADU ordinance. Potential exceptions are not limited and may include development standards such as setbacks and parking requirements and permitted uses that further the housing opportunities of individuals with disabilities.

Is Covered Parking Required?

No, off street parking must be permitted through tandem parking on an existing driveway, unless specific findings are made.

Is Replacement Parking Required When the Parking Area for the Primary Structure Is Used for an ADU?

Yes, but only if the local government requires off-street parking to be replaced in which case flexible arrangements such as tandem, including existing driveways and uncovered parking are allowed. Local governments have an opportunity to be flexible and promote ADUs that are being created on existing parking space and can consider not requiring replacement parking.

Are Setbacks Required When an Existing Garage Is Converted to an ADU?

No, setbacks must not be required when a garage is converted or when existing space (e.g., game room or office) above a garage is converted. Rear and side yard setbacks of no more than five feet are required when new space is added above a garage for an ADU. In this case, the setbacks only apply to the added space above the garage, not the existing garage and the ADU can be constructed wholly or partly above the garage, including extending beyond the garage walls.

Also, when a garage, carport or covered parking structure is demolished or where the parking area ceases to exist so an ADU can be created, the replacement parking must be allowed in any “configuration” on the lot, “…including,
but not limited to, covered spaces, uncovered spaces, or tandem spaces, or…. Configuration can be applied in a flexible manner to not burden the creation of ADUs. For example, spatial configurations like tandem on existing driveways in setback areas or not requiring excessive distances from the street would be appropriate.

Are ADUs Permitted in Existing Residence or Accessory Space?

Yes, ADUs located in single family residential zones and existing space of a single family residence or accessory structure must be approved regardless of zoning standards (Section 65852.2(a)(1)(B)) for ADUs, including locational requirements (Section 65852.2(a)(1)(A)), subject to usual non-appealable ministerial building permit requirements. For example, ADUs in existing space does not necessitate a zoning clearance and must not be limited to certain zones or areas or subject to height, lot size, lot coverage, unit size, architectural review, landscape or parking requirements. Simply, where a single family residence or accessory structure exists in any single family residential zone, so can an ADU. The purpose is to streamline and expand potential for ADUs where impact is minimal and the existing footprint is not being increased.

Zoning requirements are not a basis for denying a ministerial building permit for an ADU, including non-conforming lots or structures. The phrase, “..within the existing space” includes areas within a primary home or within an attached or detached accessory structure such as a garage, a carriage house, a pool house, a rear yard studio and similar enclosed structures.

Are Owner Occupants Required?

No, however, a local government can require an applicant to be an owner occupant. The owner may reside in the primary or accessory structure. Local governments can also require the ADU to not be used for short term rentals (terms lesser than 30 days). Both owner occupant use and prohibition on short term rentals can be required on the same property. Local agencies which impose this requirement should require recordation of a deed restriction regarding owner occupancy to comply with GC Section 27281.5

Are Fire Sprinklers Required for ADUs?

Depends, ADUs shall not be required to provide fire sprinklers if they are not or were not required of the primary residence. However, sprinklers can be required for an ADU if required in the primary structure. For example, if the primary residence has sprinklers as a result of an existing ordinance, then sprinklers could be required in the ADU. Alternative methods for fire protection could be provided.

If the ADU is detached from the main structure or new space above a detached garage, applicants can be encouraged to contact the local fire jurisdiction for information regarding fire sprinklers. Since ADUs are a unique opportunity to address a variety of housing needs and provide affordable housing options for family members, students, the elderly, in-home health care providers, the disabled, and others, the fire departments want to ensure the safety of these populations as well as the safety of those living in the primary structure. Fire Departments can help educate property owners on the benefits of sprinklers, potential resources and how they can be installed cost effectively. For example, insurance rates are typically 5 to 10 percent lower where the unit is sprinklered. Finally, other methods exist to provide additional fire protection. Some options may include additional exits, emergency escape and rescue openings, 1 hour or greater fire-rated assemblies, roofing materials and setbacks from property lines or other structures.
Is Manufactured Housing Permitted as an ADU?

Yes, an ADU is any residential dwelling unit with independent facilities and permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation. An ADU includes an efficiency unit (Health and Safety Code Section 17958.1) and a manufactured home (Health and Safety Code Section 18007).

Health and Safety Code Section 18007(a) “Manufactured home,” for the purposes of this part, means a structure that was constructed on or after June 15, 1976, is transportable in one or more sections, is eight body feet or more in width, or 40 body feet or more in length, in the traveling mode, or, when erected on site, is 320 or more square feet, is built on a permanent chassis and designed to be used as a single-family dwelling with or without a foundation when connected to the required utilities, and includes the plumbing, heating, air conditioning, and electrical systems contained therein. “Manufactured home” includes any structure that meets all the requirements of this paragraph except the size requirements and with respect to which the manufacturer voluntarily files a certification and complies with the standards established under the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C., Sec. 5401, and following).

Can an Efficiency Unit Be Smaller than 220 Square Feet?

Yes, an efficiency unit for occupancy by no more than two persons, by statute (Health and Safety Code Section 17958.1), can have a minimum floor area of 150 square feet and can also have partial kitchen or bathroom facilities, as specified by ordinance or can have the same meaning specified in the Uniform Building Code, referenced in the Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

The 2015 International Residential Code adopted by reference into the 2016 California Residential Code (CRC) allows residential dwelling units to be built considerably smaller than an Efficiency Dwelling Unit (EDU). Prior to this code change an EDU was required to have a minimum floor area not less than 220 sq. ft unless modified by local ordinance in accordance with the California Health and Safety Code which could allow an EDU to be built no less than 150 sq. ft. For more information, see HCD’s Information Bulletin at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/codes/manufactured-housing/docs/ib2016-06.pdf.

Does ADU Law Apply to Charter Cities and Counties?

Yes. ADU law explicitly applies to “local agencies” which are defined as a city, county, or city and county whether general law or chartered (Section 65852.2(i)(2)).
Do ADUs Count toward the Regional Housing Need Allocation?

Yes, local governments may report ADUs as progress toward Regional Housing Need Allocation pursuant to Government Code Section 65400 based on the actual or anticipated affordability. See below frequently asked questions for JADUs for additional discussion.

Must ADU Ordinances Be Submitted to the Department of Housing and Community Development?

Yes, ADU ordinances must be submitted to the State Department of Housing and Community Development within 60 days after adoption, including amendments to existing ordinances. However, upon submittal, the ordinance is not subject to a Department review and findings process similar to housing element law (GC Section 65585)
Frequently Asked Questions: 
Junior Accessory Dwelling Units

Is There a Difference between ADU and JADU?

Yes, AB 2406 added Government Code Section 65852.22, providing a unique option for Junior ADUs. The bill allows local governments to adopt ordinances for JADUs, which are no more than 500 square feet and are typically bedrooms in a single-family home that have an entrance into the unit from the main home and an entrance to the outside from the JADU. The JADU must have cooking facilities, including a sink, but is not required to have a private bathroom. Current law does not prohibit local governments from adopting an ordinance for a JADU, and this bill explicitly allows, not requires, a local agency to do so. If the ordinance requires a permit, the local agency shall not require additional parking or charge a fee for a water or sewer connection as a condition of granting a permit for a JADU. For more information, see below.

ADUs and JADUs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>ADU</th>
<th>JADU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Unit Size</td>
<td>Yes, generally up to 1,200 Square Feet or 50% of living area</td>
<td>Yes, 500 Square Foot Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No, Common Sanitation is Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate Entrance</td>
<td>Depends</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>Depends, Parking May Be Eliminated and Cannot Be Required Under Specified Conditions</td>
<td>No, Parking Cannot Be Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupancy</td>
<td>Depends, Owner Occupancy May Be Required</td>
<td>Yes, Owner Occupancy Is Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministerial Approval Process</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on Sale of ADU</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why Adopt a JADU Ordinance?

JADUs offer the simplest and most affordable housing option. They bridge the gap between a roommate and a tenant by offering an interior connection between the unit and main living area. The doors between the two spaces can be secured from both sides, allowing them to be easily privatized or incorporated back into the main living area. These units share central systems, require no fire separation, and have a basic kitchen, utilizing small plug in appliances, reducing development costs. This provides flexibility and an insurance policy in homes in case additional income or housing is needed. They present no additional stress on utility services or infrastructure because they simply repurpose spare bedrooms that do not expand the homes planned occupancy. No additional address is required on the property because an interior connection remains. By adopting a JADU ordinance, local governments can offer homeowners additional options to take advantage of underutilized space and better address its housing needs.

Can JADUs Count towards the RHNA?

Yes, as part of the housing element portion of their general plan, local governments are required to identify sites with appropriate zoning that will accommodate projected housing needs in their regional housing need allocation (RHNA) and report on their progress pursuant to Government Code Section 65400. To credit a unit toward the RHNA, HCD and the Department of Finance (DOF) utilize the census definition of a housing unit. Generally, a JADU, including with shared sanitation facilities, that meets the census definition and is reported to the Department of Finance as part of the DOF annual City and County Housing Unit Change Survey can be credited toward the RHNA based on the appropriate income level. Local governments can track actual or anticipated affordability to assure the JADU is counted to the appropriate income category. For example, some local governments request and track information such as anticipated affordability as part of the building permit application.

A housing unit is a house, an apartment, a mobile home or trailer, a group of rooms, or a single room that is occupied, or, if vacant, is intended for occupancy as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live separately from any other persons in the building and which have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall.

Can the JADU Be Sold Independent of the Primary Dwelling?

No, the JADU cannot be sold separate from the primary dwelling.

Are JADUs Subject to Connection and Capacity Fees?

No, JADUs shall not be considered a separate or new dwelling unit for the purposes of fees and as a result should not be charged a fee for providing water, sewer or power, including a connection fee. These requirements apply to all providers of water, sewer and power, including non-municipal providers.

Local governments may adopt requirements for fees related to parking, other service or connection for water, sewer or power, however, these requirements must be uniform for all single family residences and JADUs are not considered a new or separate unit.
Are There Requirements for Fire Separation and Fire Sprinklers?

Yes, a local government may adopt requirements related to fire and life protection requirements. However, a JADU shall not be considered a new or separate unit. In other words, if the primary unit is not subject to fire or life protection requirements, then the JADU must be treated the same.
Resources
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Attachment 1: Statutory Changes (Strikeout/Underline)

Government Code Section 65852.2

(a) (1) Any local agency may, by ordinance, provide for the creation of second accessory dwelling units in single-family and multifamily residential zones. The ordinance may do any all of the following:

(A) Designate areas within the jurisdiction of the local agency where second accessory dwelling units may be permitted. The designation of areas may be based on criteria, that may include, but are not limited to, the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of second accessory dwelling units on traffic flow, flow and public safety.

(B) (i) Impose standards on second accessory dwelling units that include, but are not limited to, parking, height, setback, lot coverage, landscape, architectural review, maximum size of a unit, and standards that prevent adverse impacts on any real property that is listed in the California Register of Historic Places.

(ii) Notwithstanding clause (i), a local agency may reduce or eliminate parking requirements for any accessory dwelling unit located within its jurisdiction.

(C) Provide that second accessory dwelling units do not exceed the allowable density for the lot upon which the second accessory dwelling unit is located, and that second accessory dwelling units are a residential use that is consistent with the existing general plan and zoning designation for the lot.

(D) Require the accessory dwelling units to comply with all of the following:

(i) The unit is not intended for sale separate from the primary residence and may be rented.

(ii) The lot is zoned for single-family or multifamily use and contains an existing, single-family dwelling.

(iii) The accessory dwelling unit is either attached to the existing dwelling or located within the living area of the existing dwelling or detached from the existing dwelling and located on the same lot as the existing dwelling.

(iv) The increased floor area of an attached accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 50 percent of the existing living area, with a maximum increase in floor area of 1,200 square feet.

(v) The total area of floorspace for a detached accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 1,200 square feet.

(vi) No passageway shall be required in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit.

(vii) No setback shall be required for an existing garage that is converted to a accessory dwelling unit, and a setback of no more than five feet from the side and rear lot lines shall be required for an accessory dwelling unit that is constructed above a garage.

(viii) Local building code requirements that apply to detached dwellings, as appropriate.

(ix) Approval by the local health officer where a private sewage disposal system is being used, if required.

(x) (I) Parking requirements for accessory dwelling units shall not exceed one parking space per unit or per bedroom. These spaces may be provided as tandem parking on an existing driveway.

(II) Offstreet parking shall be permitted in setback areas in locations determined by the local agency or through tandem parking, unless specific findings are made that parking in setback areas or tandem parking is not feasible based upon specific site or regional topographical or fire and life safety conditions, or that it is not permitted anywhere else in the jurisdiction.

(III) This clause shall not apply to a unit that is described in subdivision (d).
(xi) When a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit, and the local agency requires that those offstreet parking spaces be replaced, the replacement spaces may be located in any configuration on the same lot as the accessory dwelling unit, including, but not limited to, as covered spaces, uncovered spaces, or tandem spaces, or by the use of mechanical automobile parking lifts. This clause shall not apply to a unit that is described in subdivision (d).

(2) The ordinance shall not be considered in the application of any local ordinance, policy, or program to limit residential growth.

(3) When a local agency receives its first application on or after July 1, 2003, for a permit pursuant to this subdivision, the application shall be considered ministerially without discretionary review or a hearing, notwithstanding Section 65901 or 65906 or any local ordinance regulating the issuance of variances or special use permits. Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to require a local government to adopt or amend an ordinance for the creation of ADUs, permits, within 120 days after receiving the application. A local agency may charge a fee to reimburse it for costs that it incurs as a result of amendments to this paragraph enacted during the 2001–02 Regular Session of the Legislature, including the costs of adopting or amending any ordinance that provides for the creation of ADUs, an accessory dwelling unit.

(b) (4) (1) An existing ordinance governing the creation of an accessory dwelling unit by a local agency which has not adopted an ordinance governing ADUs in accordance with subdivision (a) or (c) receives its first application on or after July 1, 1983, for a permit pursuant to this subdivision, the local agency shall accept the application and approve or disapprove the application ministerially without discretionary review pursuant to this subdivision unless it or an accessory dwelling ordinance adopted by a local agency subsequent to the effective date of the act adding this paragraph shall provide an approval process that includes only ministerial provisions for the approval of accessory dwelling units and shall not include any discretionary processes, provisions, or requirements for those units, except as otherwise provided in this subdivision. In the event that a local agency has an existing accessory dwelling unit ordinance that fails to meet the requirements of this subdivision, that ordinance shall be null and void upon the effective date of the act adding this paragraph and that agency shall thereafter apply the standards established in this subdivision for the approval of accessory dwelling units, unless and until the agency adopts an ordinance in accordance with subdivision (a) or (c) within 120 days after receiving the application. Notwithstanding Section 65901 or 65906, every local agency shall grant a variance or special use permit for the creation of a ADU if the ADU complies with all of the following: that complies with this section.

(A) The unit is not intended for sale and may be rented.

(B) The lot is zoned for single-family or multifamily use.

(C) The lot contains an existing single-family dwelling.

(D) The ADU is either attached to the existing dwelling and located within the living area of the existing dwelling or detached from the existing dwelling and located on the same lot as the existing dwelling.

(E) The increased floor area of an attached ADU shall not exceed 30 percent of the existing living area.

(F) The total area of floorspace for a detached ADU shall not exceed 1,200 square feet.

(G) Requirements relating to height, setback, lot coverage, architectural review, site plan review, fees, charges, and other zoning requirements generally applicable to residential construction in the zone in which the property is located.

(H) Local building code requirements which apply to detached dwellings, as appropriate.

(I) Approval by the local health officer where a private sewage disposal system is being used, if required.
(2) (5) No other local ordinance, policy, or regulation shall be the basis for the denial of a building permit or a use permit under this subdivision.

(3) (6) This subdivision establishes the maximum standards that local agencies shall use to evaluate proposed ADUs on lots a proposed accessory dwelling unit on a lot zoned for residential use which contains an existing single-family dwelling. No additional standards, other than those provided in this subdivision or subdivision (a), shall be utilized or imposed, except that a local agency may require an applicant for a permit issued pursuant to this subdivision to be an owner-occupant, owner-occupant or that the property be used for rentals of terms longer than 30 days.

(4) (7) No changes in zoning ordinances or other ordinances or any changes in the general plan shall be required to implement this subdivision. Any local agency may amend its zoning ordinance or general plan to incorporate the policies, procedures, or other provisions applicable to the creation of ADUs if these provisions are consistent with the limitations of this subdivision.

(5) (8) A ADU which conforms to the requirements of An accessory dwelling unit that conforms to this subdivision shall be deemed to be an accessory use or an accessory building and shall not be considered to exceed the allowable density for the lot upon which it is located, and shall be deemed to be a residential use which is consistent with the existing general plan and zoning designations for the lot. The ADUs accessory dwelling unit shall not be considered in the application of any local ordinance, policy, or program to limit residential growth.

(e) (b) No When a local agency shall adopt an ordinance which totally precludes ADUs within single-family or multifamily zoned areas unless the ordinance contains findings acknowledging that the ordinance may limit housing opportunities of the region and further contains findings that specific adverse impacts on the public health, safety, and welfare that would result from allowing ADUs within single-family and multifamily zoned areas justify adopting the ordinance. that has not adopted an ordinance governing accessory dwelling units in accordance with subdivision (a) receives its first application on or after July 1, 1983, for a permit to create an accessory dwelling unit pursuant to this subdivision, the local agency shall accept the application and approve or disapprove the application ministerially without discretionary review pursuant to subdivision (a) within 120 days after receiving the application.

(d) (c) A local agency may establish minimum and maximum unit size requirements for both attached and detached second accessory dwelling units. No minimum or maximum size for a second an accessory dwelling unit, or size based upon a percentage of the existing dwelling, shall be established by ordinance for either attached or detached dwellings which does not permit at least an efficiency unit to be constructed in compliance with local development standards. Accessory dwelling units shall not be required to provide fire sprinklers if they are not required for the primary residence.

(d) Notwithstanding any other law, a local agency, whether or not it has adopted an ordinance governing accessory dwelling units in accordance with subdivision (a), shall not impose parking standards for an accessory dwelling unit in any of the following instances:

(1) The accessory dwelling unit is located within one-half mile of public transit.

(2) The accessory dwelling unit is located within an architecturally and historically significant historic district.

(3) The accessory dwelling unit is part of the existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure.

(4) When on-street parking permits are required but not offered to the occupant of the accessory dwelling unit.

(5) When there is a car share vehicle located within one block of the accessory dwelling unit.

(e) Parking requirements for ADUs shall not exceed one parking space per unit or per bedroom. Additional parking may be required provided that a finding is made that the additional parking requirements are directly related to the-
use of the ADU and are consistent with existing neighborhood standards applicable to existing dwellings. Off-street parking shall be permitted in setback areas in locations determined by the local agency or through tandem parking, unless specific findings are made that parking in setback areas or tandem parking is not feasible based upon specific site or regional topographical or fire and life safety conditions, or that it is not permitted anywhere else in the jurisdiction. Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) to (d), inclusive, a local agency shall ministerially approve an application for a building permit to create within a single-family residential zone one accessory dwelling unit per single-family lot if the unit is contained within the existing space of a single-family residence or accessory structure, has independent exterior access from the existing residence, and the side and rear setbacks are sufficient for fire safety. Accessory dwelling units shall not be required to provide fire sprinklers if they are not required for the primary residence.

(f) (1) Fees charged for the construction of second accessory dwelling units shall be determined in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 66000), Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 66012), and Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 66012).

(2) Accessory dwelling units shall not be considered new residential uses for the purposes of calculating local agency connection fees or capacity charges for utilities, including water and sewer service.

(A) For an accessory dwelling unit described in subdivision (e), a local agency shall not require the applicant to install a new or separate utility connection directly between the accessory dwelling unit and the utility or impose a related connection fee or capacity charge.

(B) For an accessory dwelling unit that is not described in subdivision (e), a local agency may require a new or separate utility connection directly between the accessory dwelling unit and the utility. Consistent with Section 66013, the connection may be subject to a connection fee or capacity charge that shall be proportionate to the burden of the proposed accessory dwelling unit, based upon either its size or the number of its plumbing fixtures, upon the water or sewer system. This fee or charge shall not exceed the reasonable cost of providing this service.

(g) This section does not limit the authority of local agencies to adopt less restrictive requirements for the creation of ADUs, an accessory dwelling unit.

(h) Local agencies shall submit a copy of the ordinances ordinance adopted pursuant to subdivision (a) or (e) to the Department of Housing and Community Development within 60 days after adoption.

(i) As used in this section, the following terms mean:

(1) “Living area,” area means the interior habitable area of a dwelling unit including basements and attics but does not include a garage or any accessory structure.

(2) “Local agency” means a city, county, or city and county, whether general law or chartered.

(3) For purposes of this section, “neighborhood” has the same meaning as set forth in Section 65589.5.

(4) “Second-Accessory dwelling unit” means an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit which provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family dwelling is situated. An accessory dwelling unit also includes the following:

(A) An efficiency unit, as defined in Section 17958.1 of Health and Safety Code.

(B) A manufactured home, as defined in Section 18007 of the Health and Safety Code.

(5) “Passageway” means a pathway that is unobstructed clear to the sky and extends from a street to one entrance of the accessory dwelling unit.
(j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede or in any way alter or lessen the effect or application of the California Coastal Act (Division 20 (commencing with Section 30000) of the Public Resources Code), except that the local government shall not be required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for second accessory dwelling units.

**Government Code Section 65852.22.**

(a) Notwithstanding Section 65852.2, a local agency may, by ordinance, provide for the creation of junior accessory dwelling units in single-family residential zones. The ordinance may require a permit to be obtained for the creation of a junior accessory dwelling unit, and shall do all of the following:

(1) Limit the number of junior accessory dwelling units to one per residential lot zoned for single-family residences with a single-family residence already built on the lot.

(2) Require owner-occupancy in the single-family residence in which the junior accessory dwelling unit will be permitted. The owner may reside in either the remaining portion of the structure or the newly created junior accessory dwelling unit. Owner-occupancy shall not be required if the owner is another governmental agency, land trust, or housing organization.

(3) Require the recordation of a deed restriction, which shall run with the land, shall be filed with the permitting agency, and shall include both of the following:

(A) A prohibition on the sale of the junior accessory dwelling unit separate from the sale of the single-family residence, including a statement that the deed restriction may be enforced against future purchasers.

(B) A restriction on the size and attributes of the junior accessory dwelling unit that conforms with this section.

(4) Require a permitted junior accessory dwelling unit to be constructed within the existing walls of the structure, and require the inclusion of an existing bedroom.

(5) Require a permitted junior accessory dwelling to include a separate entrance from the main entrance to the structure, with an interior entry to the main living area. A permitted junior accessory dwelling may include a second interior doorway for sound attenuation.

(6) Require the permitted junior accessory dwelling unit to include an efficiency kitchen, which shall include all of the following:

(A) A sink with a maximum waste line diameter of 1.5 inches.

(B) A cooking facility with appliances that do not require electrical service greater than 120 volts, or natural or propane gas.

(C) A food preparation counter and storage cabinets that are of reasonable size in relation to the size of the junior accessory dwelling unit.

(b) (1) An ordinance shall not require additional parking as a condition to grant a permit.

(2) This subdivision shall not be interpreted to prohibit the requirement of an inspection, including the imposition of a fee for that inspection, to determine whether the junior accessory dwelling unit is in compliance with applicable building standards.

(c) An application for a permit pursuant to this section shall, notwithstanding Section 65901 or 65906 or any local ordinance regulating the issuance of variances or special use permits, be considered ministerially, without discretionary review or a hearing. A permit shall be issued within 120 days of submission of an application for a
permit pursuant to this section. A local agency may charge a fee to reimburse the local agency for costs incurred in connection with the issuance of a permit pursuant to this section.

(d) For the purposes of any fire or life protection ordinance or regulation, a junior accessory dwelling unit shall not be considered a separate or new dwelling unit. This section shall not be construed to prohibit a city, county, city and county, or other local public entity from adopting an ordinance or regulation relating to fire and life protection requirements within a single-family residence that contains a junior accessory dwelling unit so long as the ordinance or regulation applies uniformly to all single-family residences within the zone regardless of whether the single-family residence includes a junior accessory dwelling unit or not.

(e) For the purposes of providing service for water, sewer, or power, including a connection fee, a junior accessory dwelling unit shall not be considered a separate or new dwelling unit.

(f) This section shall not be construed to prohibit a local agency from adopting an ordinance or regulation, related to parking or a service or a connection fee for water, sewer, or power, that applies to a single-family residence that contains a junior accessory dwelling unit, so long as that ordinance or regulation applies uniformly to all single-family residences regardless of whether the single-family residence includes a junior accessory dwelling unit.

(g) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

(1) “Junior accessory dwelling unit” means a unit that is no more than 500 square feet in size and contained entirely within an existing single-family structure. A junior accessory dwelling unit may include separate sanitation facilities, or may share sanitation facilities with the existing structure.

(2) “Local agency” means a city, county, or city and county, whether general law or chartered.
Attachment 2: Sample ADU Ordinance

Section XXX1XXX: Purpose

This Chapter provides for accessory dwelling units on lots developed or proposed to be developed with single-family dwellings. Such accessory dwellings contribute needed housing to the community’s housing stock. Thus, accessory dwelling units are a residential use which is consistent with the General Plan objectives and zoning regulations and which enhances housing opportunities, including near transit on single family lots.

Section XXX2XXX: Applicability

The provisions of this Chapter apply to all lots that are occupied with a single family dwelling unit and zoned residential. Accessory dwelling units do exceed the allowable density for the lot upon which the accessory dwelling unit is located, and are a residential use that is consistent with the existing general plan and zoning designation for the lot.

Section XXX3XXX: Development Standards

Accessory Structures within Existing Space

An accessory dwelling unit within an existing space including the primary structure, attached or detached garage or other accessory structure shall be permitted ministerially with a building permit regardless of all other standards within the Chapter if complying with:

1. Building and safety codes
2. Independent exterior access from the existing residence
3. Sufficient side and rear setbacks for fire safety.

Accessory Structures (Attached and Detached)

General:

1. The unit is not intended for sale separate from the primary residence and may be rented.
2. The lot is zoned for residential and contains an existing, single-family dwelling.
3. The accessory dwelling unit is either attached to the existing dwelling or detached from the existing dwelling and located on the same lot as the existing dwelling.
4. The increased floor area of an attached accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 50 percent of the existing living area, with a maximum increase in floor area of 1,200 square feet.
5. The total area of floor space for a detached accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 1,200 square feet.
6. Local building code requirements that apply to detached dwellings, as appropriate.
7. No passageway shall be required in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit.
8. No setback shall be required for an existing garage that is converted to a accessory dwelling unit, and a setback of no more than five feet from the side and rear lot lines shall be required for an accessory dwelling unit that is constructed above a garage.
9. Accessory dwelling units shall not be required to provide fire sprinklers if they are not required for the primary residence and may employ alternative methods for fire protection.

Parking:

1. Parking requirements for accessory dwelling units shall not exceed one parking space per unit or per bedroom. These spaces may be provided as tandem parking, including on an existing driveway or in setback areas, excluding the non-driveway front yard setback.
2. Parking is not required in the following instances:
   - The accessory dwelling unit is located within one-half mile of public transit, including transit stations and bus stations.
The accessory dwelling unit is located in the WWWW Downtown, XXX Area, YYY Corridor and ZZZ Opportunity Area.

The accessory dwelling unit is located within an architecturally and historically significant historic district.

When on-street parking permits are required but not offered to the occupant of the accessory dwelling unit.

When there is a car share vehicle located within one block of the accessory dwelling unit.

3. Replacement Parking: When a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit, replacement parking shall not be required and may be located in any configuration on the same lot as the accessory dwelling unit.

Section XXX4XXX: Permit Requirements

ADUs shall be permitted ministerially, in compliance with this Chapter within 120 days of application. The Community Development Director shall issue a building permit or zoning certificate to establish an accessory dwelling unit in compliance with this Chapter if all applicable requirements are met in Section XXX3XXX, as appropriate. The Community Development Director may approve an accessory dwelling unit that is not in compliance with Section XXX3XXX as set forth in Section XXX5XXX. The XXXX Health Officer shall approve an application in conformance with XXXXXX where a private sewage disposal system is being used.

Section XXX5XXX: Review Process for Accessory Structure Not Complying with Development Standards

An accessory dwelling unit that does not comply with standards in Section XXX3XX may permitted with a zoning certificate or an administrative use permit at the discretion of the Community Development Director subject to findings in Section XXX6XX

Section XXX6XXX: Findings

A. In order to deny an administrative use permit under Section XXX5XXX, the Community Development Director shall find that the Accessory Dwelling Unit would be detrimental to the public health and safety or would introduce unreasonable privacy impacts to the immediate neighbors.

B. In order to approve an administrative use permit under Section XXX5XXX to waive required accessory dwelling unit parking, the Community Development Director shall find that additional or new on-site parking would be detrimental, and that granting the waiver will meet the purposes of this Chapter.

Section XXX7XXX: Definitions

(1) "Living area means the interior habitable area of a dwelling unit including basements and attics but does not include a garage or any accessory structure.

(2) "Accessory dwelling unit" means an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit which provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family dwelling is situated. An accessory dwelling unit also includes the following:

(A) An efficiency unit, as defined in Section 17958.1 of Health and Safety Code.

(B) A manufactured home, as defined in Section 18007 of the Health and Safety Code.

(3) "Passageway" means a pathway that is unobstructed clear to the sky and extends from a street to one entrance of the accessory dwelling unit.
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(4) (1) “Existing Structure” for the purposes of defining an allowable space that can be converted to an ADU means within the four walls and roofline of any structure existing on or after January 1, 2017 that can be made safely habitable under local building codes at the determination of the building official regardless of any non-compliance with zoning standards.
Attachment 3: Sample JADU Ordinance
(Lilypad Homes at http://lilypadhomes.org/)

Draft Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADU) – Flexible Housing

Findings:

1. Causation: Critical need for housing for lower income families and individuals given the high cost of living and low supply of affordable homes for rent or purchase, and the difficulty, given the current social and economic environment, in building more affordable housing

2. Mitigation: Create a simple and inexpensive permitting track for the development of junior accessory dwelling units that allows spare bedrooms in homes to serve as a flexible form of infill housing

3. Endangerment: Provisions currently required under agency ordinances are so arbitrary, excessive, or burdensome as to restrict the ability of homeowners to legally develop these units therefore encouraging homeowners to bypass safety standards and procedures that make the creation of these units a benefit to the whole of the community

4. Co-Benefits: Homeowners (particularly retired seniors and young families, groups that tend to have the lowest incomes) – generating extra revenue, allowing people facing unexpected financial obstacles to remain in their homes, housing parents, children or caregivers; Homebuyers - providing rental income which aids in mortgage qualification under new government guidelines; Renters – creating more low-cost housing options in the community where they work, go to school or have family, also reducing commute time and expenses; Municipalities – helping to meet RHNA goals, increasing property and sales tax revenue, insuring safety standard code compliance, providing an abundant source of affordable housing with no additional infrastructure needed; Community - housing vital workers, decreasing traffic, creating economic growth both in the remodeling sector and new customers for local businesses; Planet - reducing carbon emissions, using resources more efficiently;

5. Benefits of Junior ADUs: offer a more affordable housing option to both homeowners and renters, creating economically healthy, diverse, multi-generational communities;

Therefore the following ordinance is hereby enacted:

This Section provides standards for the establishment of junior accessory dwelling units, an alternative to the standard accessory dwelling unit, permitted as set forth under State Law AB 1866 (Chapter 1062, Statutes of 2002) Sections 65852.150 and 65852.2 and subject to different provisions under fire safety codes based on the fact that junior accessory dwelling units do not qualify as “complete independent living facilities” given that the interior connection from the junior accessory dwelling unit to the main living area remains, therefore not redefining the single-family home status of the dwelling unit.

A) Development Standards. Junior accessory dwelling units shall comply with the following standards, including the standards in Table below:

1) Number of Units Allowed. Only one accessory dwelling unit or, junior accessory dwelling unit, may be located on any residentially zoned lot that permits a single-family dwelling except as otherwise regulated or restricted by an adopted Master Plan or Precise Development Plan. A junior accessory dwelling unit may only be located on a lot which already contains one legal single-family dwelling.

2) Owner Occupancy. The owner of a parcel proposed for a junior accessory dwelling unit shall occupy as a principal residence either the primary dwelling or the accessory dwelling, except when the home is held by an agency such as a land trust or housing organization in an effort to create affordable housing.

3) Sale Prohibited. A junior accessory dwelling unit shall not be sold independently of the primary dwelling on the parcel.
4) **Deed Restriction:** A deed restriction shall be completed and recorded, in compliance with Section B below.

5) **Location of Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit:** A junior accessory dwelling unit must be created within the existing walls of an existing primary dwelling, and must include conversion of an existing bedroom.

6) **Separate Entry Required:** A separate exterior entry shall be provided to serve a junior accessory dwelling unit.

7) **Interior Entry Remains:** The interior connection to the main living area must be maintained, but a second door may be added for sound attenuation.

8) **Kitchen Requirements:** The junior accessory dwelling unit shall include an efficiency kitchen, requiring and limited to the following components:
   a) A sink with a maximum waste line diameter of one-and-a-half (1.5) inches,
   b) A cooking facility with appliance which do not require electrical service greater than one-hundred-and-twenty (120) volts or natural or propane gas, and
   c) A food preparation counter and storage cabinets that are reasonable to size of the unit.

9) **Parking:** No additional parking is required beyond that required when the existing primary dwelling was constructed.

### Development Standards for Junior Accessory Dwelling Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE OR DESIGN FEATURE</th>
<th>SITE AND DESIGN STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum unit size</td>
<td>500 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks</td>
<td>As required for the primary dwelling unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>No additional parking required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B) **Deed Restriction:** Prior to obtaining a building permit for a junior accessory dwelling unit, a deed restriction, approved by the City Attorney, shall be recorded with the County Recorder's office, which shall include the pertinent restrictions and limitations of a junior accessory dwelling unit identified in this Section. Said deed restriction shall run with the land, and shall be binding upon any future owners, heirs, or assigns. A copy of the recorded deed restriction shall be filed with the Department stating that:

1) The junior accessory dwelling unit shall not be sold separately from the primary dwelling unit;

2) The junior accessory dwelling unit is restricted to the maximum size allowed per the development standards;

3) The junior accessory dwelling unit shall be considered legal only so long as either the primary residence, or the accessory dwelling unit, is occupied by the owner of record of the property, except when the home is owned by an agency such as a land trust or housing organization in an effort to create affordable housing;

4) The restrictions shall be binding upon any successor in ownership of the property and lack of compliance with this provision may result in legal action against the property owner, including revocation of any right to maintain a junior accessory dwelling unit on the property.

C) **No Water Connection Fees:** No agency should require a water connection fee for the development of a junior accessory dwelling unit. An inspection fee to confirm that the dwelling unit complies with development standard may be assessed.

D) **No Sewer Connection Fees:** No agency should require a sewer connection fee for the development of a junior accessory dwelling unit. An inspection fee to confirm that the dwelling unit complies with development standard
may be assessed.

E) *No Fire Sprinklers and Fire Attenuation:* No agency should require fire sprinkler or fire attenuation specifications for the development of a junior accessory dwelling unit. An inspection fee to confirm that the dwelling unit complies with development standard may be assessed.

**Definitions of Specialized Terms and Phrases.**

"Accessory dwelling unit" means an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit which provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family dwelling is situated. An accessory dwelling unit also includes the following:

1. An efficiency unit, as defined in Section 17958.1 of Health and Safety Code.
2. A manufactured home, as defined in Section 18007 of the Health and Safety Code.

"Junior accessory dwelling unit" means a unit that is no more than 500 square feet in size and contained entirely within an existing single-family structure. A junior accessory dwelling unit may include separate sanitation facilities, or may share sanitation facilities with the existing structure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES/NO</th>
<th>STATE STANDARD*</th>
<th>GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit is not intended for sale separate from the primary residence and may be rented.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot is zoned for single-family or multifamily use and contains an existing, single-family dwelling.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory dwelling unit is either attached to the existing dwelling or located within the living area of the existing dwelling or detached from the existing dwelling and located on the same lot as the existing dwelling.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased floor area of an attached accessory dwelling unit does not exceed 50 percent of the existing living area, with a maximum increase in floor area of 1,200 square feet.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(iv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area of floor space for a detached accessory dwelling unit does not exceed 1,200 square feet.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passageways are not required in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(vi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks are not required for an existing garage that is converted to an accessory dwelling unit, and a setback of no more than five feet from the side and rear lot lines are not required for an accessory dwelling unit that is constructed above a garage.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(vi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Local building code requirements that apply to detached dwellings are met, as appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(vii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local health officer approval where a private sewage disposal system is being used, if required.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(ix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking requirements do not exceed one parking space per unit or per bedroom. These spaces may be provided as tandem parking on an existing driveway.</td>
<td></td>
<td>65852.2(a)(1)(D)(x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other requirements may apply. See Government Code Section 65852.2
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ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS: CASE STUDY (26 pp.)

Introduction: Accessory dwelling units (ADUs) — also referred to as accessory apartments, ADUs, or granny flats — are additional living quarters on single-family lots that are independent of the primary dwelling unit. The separate living spaces are equipped with kitchen and bathroom facilities, and can be either attached or detached from the main residence. This case study explores how the adoption of ordinances, with reduced regulatory restrictions to encourage ADUs, can be advantageous for communities. Following an explanation of the various types of ADUs and their benefits, this case study provides examples of municipalities with successful ADU legislation and programs. Section titles include: History of ADUs; Types of Accessory Dwelling Units; Benefits of Accessory Dwelling Units; and Examples of ADU Ordinances and Programs.

THE MACRO VIEW ON MICRO UNITS (46 pp.)
Library Call #: H43 4.21 M33 2014

The Urban Land Institute Multifamily Housing Councils were awarded a ULI Foundation research grant in fall 2013 to evaluate from multiple perspectives the market performance and market acceptance of micro and small units.

RESPONDING TO CHANGING HOUSEHOLDS: Regulatory Challenges for Micro-units and Accessory Dwelling Units (76 pp.)
By Vicki Been, Benjamin Gross, and John Infranca (2014)
New York University: Furman Center for Real Estate & Urban Policy
Library Call #: D55 3 I47 2014

This White Paper fills two gaps in the discussion regarding compact units. First, we provide a detailed analysis of the regulatory and other challenges to developing both ADUs and micro-units, focusing on five cities: New York; Washington, DC; Austin; Denver; and Seattle. That analysis will be helpful not only to the specific jurisdictions we study, but also can serve as a model for those who what to catalogue regulations that might get in the way of the development of compact units in their own jurisdictions. Second, as more local governments permit or encourage compact units, researchers will need to evaluate how well the units built serve the goals proponents claim they will.

SCALING UP SECONDARY UNIT PRODUCTION IN THE EAST BAY: Impacts and Policy Implications (25 pp.)
By Jake Webmann, Alison Nemirow, and Karen Chapple (2012)
UC Berkeley: Institute of Urban and Regional Development (IURD)
Library Call #: H44 1.1 S33 2012

This paper begins by analyzing how many secondary units of one particular type, detached backyard cottages, might be built in the East Bay, focusing on the Flatlands portions of Berkeley, El Cerrito, and Oakland. We then investigate the potential impacts of scaling up the strategy with regard to housing affordability, smart growth, alternative transportation, the economy, and city budgets. A final section details policy recommendations, focusing on regulatory reforms and other actions cities can take to encourage secondary unit construction, such as promoting carsharing programs, educating residents, and providing access to finance.
SECONDARY UNITS AND URBAN INFILL: A literature Review (12 pp.)

By Jake Wegmann and Alison Nemirow (2011)
UC Berkeley: IURD
Library Call # D44 4.21 S43 2011

This literature review examines the research on both infill development in general, and secondary units in particular, with an eye towards understanding the similarities and differences between infill as it is more traditionally understood – i.e., the development or redevelopment of entire parcels of land in an already urbanized area – and the incremental type of infill that secondary unit development constitutes.

YES, BUT WILL THEY LET US BUILD? The Feasibility of Secondary Units in the East Bay (17 pp.)

By Alison Nemirow and Karen Chapple (2012)
UC Berkeley: IURD
Library Call # H44.5 1.1 Y47 2012

This paper begins with a discussion of how to determine the development potential for secondary units, and then provides an overview of how many secondary units can be built in the East Bay of San Francisco Bay Area under current regulations. The next two sections examine key regulatory barriers in detail for the five cities in the study (Albany, Berkeley, El Cerrito, Oakland, and Richmond), looking at lot size, setbacks, parking requirements, and procedural barriers. A sensitivity analysis then determines how many units could be built were the regulations to be relaxed.

YES IN MY BACKYARD: Mobilizing the Market for Secondary Units (20 pp.)

By Karen Chapple, J. Weigmann, A. Nemirow, and C. Dentel-Post (2011)
UC Berkeley: Center for Community Innovation.
Library Call # B92 1.1 Y47 2011

This study examines two puzzles that must be solved in order to scale up a secondary unit strategy: first, how can city regulations best enable their construction? And second, what is the market for secondary units? Because parking is such an important issue, we also examine the potential for secondary unit residents to rely on alternative transportation modes, particular car share programs. The study looks at five adjacent cities in the East Bay of the San Francisco Bay Area (Figure 1) — Oakland, Berkeley, Albany, El Cerrito, and Richmond — focusing on the areas within ½ mile of five Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) stations.

Journal Articles and Working Papers:

BACKYARD HOMES LA (17 pp.)

Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles.
City Lab Project Book.

DEVELOPING PRIVATE ACCESSORY DWELLINGS (6 pp.)

By William P. Macht. Urbanland online. (June 26, 2015)
GRANNY FLATS GAINING GROUND (2 pp.)
By Brian Barth. Planning Magazine: pp. 16-17. (April 2016)
Library Location: Serials

"HIDDEN" DENSITY: THE POTENTIAL OF SMALL-SCALE INFILL DEVELOPMENT (2 pp.)
By Karen Chapple (2011)
UC Berkeley: IURD Policy Brief.
Library Call # D44 1.2 H53 2011

California’s implementation of SB 375, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, is putting new pressure on communities to support infill development. As metropolitan planning organizations struggle to communicate the need for density, they should take note of strategies that make increasing density an attractive choice for neighborhoods and regions.

HIDDEN DENSITY IN SINGLE-FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS: Backyard cottages as an equitable smart growth strategy (22 pp.)
Abstract (not available in full text): Secondary units, or separate small dwellings embedded within single-family residential properties, constitute a frequently overlooked strategy for urban infill in high-cost metropolitan areas in the United States. This study, which is situated within California’s San Francisco Bay Area, draws upon data collected from a homeowners’ survey and a Rental Market Analysis to provide evidence that a scaled-up strategy emphasizing one type of secondary unit – the backyard cottage – could yield substantial infill growth with minimal public subsidy. In addition, it is found that this strategy compares favorably in terms of affordability with infill of the sort traditionally favored in the ‘smart growth’ literature, i.e. the construction of dense multifamily housing developments.

RETHINKING PRIVATE ACCESSORY DWELLINGS (5 pp.)
By William P. Macht. Urbanland online. (March 6, 2015)
Library Location: Urbanland 74 (1/2) January/February 2015, pp. 87-91.

ADUS AND LOS ANGELES’ BROKEN PLANNING SYSTEM (4 pp.)
Land-use attorney Carlyle W. Hall comments on building permits for accessory dwelling units.

News:

HOW ONE COLORADO CITY INSTANTLY CREATED AFFORDABLE HOUSING
By Anthony Flint. The Atlantic-CityLab. (May 17, 2016).
In Durango, Colorado, zoning rules were changed to allow, for instance, non-family members as residents in already-existing accessory dwelling units.

NEW HAMPSHIRE WINS PROTECTIONS FOR ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS (1 p.)
NLIHC (March 28, 2016)
Affordable housing advocates in New Hampshire celebrated a significant victory this month when Governor Maggie Hassan (D) signed Senate Bill 146, legislation that allows single-family homeowners to add an accessory
dwelling unit as a matter of right through a conditional use permit or by special exception as determined by their municipalities. The bill removes a significant regulatory barrier to increasing rental homes at no cost to taxpayers.

NEW IN-LAW SUITE RULES BOOST AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN SAN FRANCISCO. (3 pp.)

By Rob Poole. Shareable. (June 10, 2014).

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors recently approved two significant pieces of legislation that support accessory dwelling units (ADUs), also known as “in-law” or secondary units, in the city...

USING ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS TO BOLSTER AFFORDABLE HOUSING (3 pp.)

By Michael Ryan. Smart Growth America. (December 12, 2014).
Accessory Dwelling Unit

Summary of SB 1069

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB1069

This bill makes several changes to address barriers to the development of accessory dwelling units (ADUs), including parking requirements, utility fees, and existing single-family space repurposed as an ADU.

Parking: SB 1069 reduced maximum parking requirements for all ADUs. Only one parking space per unit can be required and the parking space shall be allowed to be provided as uncovered tandem parking on an existing driveway. Furthermore, no additional parking can be required if the ADU meets any of the following criteria:

- It is located within a 1/2 mile from a public transit stop.
- It is located within an historic district.
- It is located within an existing primary residence or an existing accessory structure.
- It is located within an area where on-street parking permits are required but are not offered to the occupant of the ADU.
- It is located within one block of a car share service.

Fees: SB 1069 provides that ADUs shall not be considered new residential uses for the purpose of calculating utility connection fees or capacity charges, including water and sewer service. The bill prohibits a local agency from requiring an ADU applicant to install a new or separate utility connection or impose a related connection fee or capacity charge for ADUs that are contained within an existing residence or accessory structure. For newly constructed attached and detached ADUs, this fee or charge must be proportionate to the burden of the unit on the water or sewer system and may not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service.

Fire Requirements: SB 1069 provides that fire sprinklers shall not be required in an accessory unit if they are not required in the primary residence.

ADUs constructed within Existing Living Area or Accessory Floor Area: Local governments must allow, without any restrictions, an ADU that is:

- Located in a single-family zone,
- Contained within an existing residence or accessory structure;
- Has independent exterior access from the existing residence; and
- Has side and rear setbacks that are sufficient for fire safety.

No Total Prohibition: Local governments are not allowed to adopt an ordinance that prohibits the construction of ADUs.

Summary of AB 2299

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB2299

This bill requires a local government to approve ADUs through a ministerial process if the unit complies with certain parking requirements, the maximum allowable size for an attached unit, and setback requirements, as follows:
The unit is not intended for sale separate from the primary residence and may be rented.
The lot is zoned for single-family or multifamily use and contains an existing, single-family dwelling.
The unit is either attached to an existing dwelling or located within the living area of the existing dwelling or detached and on the same lot.
The increased floor area of an attached ADU does not exceed 50% of the existing living area, with a maximum floor area of 1,200 square feet. (Cities are permitted to establish a maximum unit size that is smaller than 1,200 square feet if the unit is not proposed within existing floor area.)
The total area of floor space for a detached accessory dwelling unit does not exceed 1,200 square feet.
No passageway can be required.
No setback can be required for an existing garage that is converted into an ADU.
The ADU must comply with local building code requirements.
The ADU must be approved by the Building Official if a private sewage disposal system is being used.

Summary of AB 2406

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB2406

This bill creates more flexibility for housing options by authorizing local governments to permit junior accessory dwelling units (JADUs) through an ordinance. The bill defines JADUs to be a unit that cannot exceed 500 square feet and must be completely contained within the space of an existing residential structure. In addition, the bill requires specified components for a local JADU ordinance. The ordinance authorized by AB 2406 must include the following parameters:

- Limit to one JADU per residential lot zoned for single-family residences with a single-family residence already built on the lot.
- The single-family residence in which the JADU is created or JADU must be occupied by the owner of the residence.
- The owner must record a deed restriction stating that the JADU cannot be sold separately from the single-family residence and restricting the JADU to the size limitations and other requirements of the JADU ordinance.
- The JADU must be located entirely within the existing structure of the single-family residence and the JADU must have its own separate entrance.
- The JADU must include an efficiency kitchen which includes a sink, cooking appliance, counter surface, and storage cabinets that meet minimum building code standards. No gas or 220V circuits are allowed.
- The JADU may share a bath with the primary residence or have its own bath. Prohibited Components: This bill prohibits a local JADU ordinance from requiring:
  - Additional parking as a condition to grant a permit.
  - Applying additional water, sewer and power connection fees.
TO: Planning Directors of Coastal Cities and Counties

FROM: John Ainsworth, Executive Director

RE: New Accessory Dwelling Unit Legislation

DATE: April 18, 2017

New State requirements regarding local government regulation of “accessory dwelling units” (ADUs) became effective on January 1, 2017. The Legislature amended Government Code section 65852.2 to modify the requirements that local governments may apply to ADUs, most notably with respect to parking. The Legislature further specified that local ADU ordinances enacted prior to 2017 that do not meet the requirements of the new legislation are null and void. (Gov. Code, § 65852.2, subd. (a)(4).) Significantly, however, the Legislature further directed that the statute shall not be interpreted to “supersede or in any way alter or lessen the effect or application of the California Coastal Act . . . except that the local government shall not be required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for accessory dwelling units.” (Gov. Code, § 65852.2, subd. (j).) The Legislature also enacted Government Code section 65852.22, which establishes streamlined review of “junior” ADUs in jurisdictions that adopt ordinances that meet certain specified criteria. Unlike Government Code section 65852.2, the junior ADU statute does not specifically address or refer to the Coastal Act.

The Coastal Act requires the Coastal Commission to encourage housing opportunities for low and moderate income households and calls for the concentration of development in existing developed areas. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 30250, subd. (a); 30604, subd. (f).) The creation of new ADUs in existing residential areas is a promising strategy for increasing the supply of lower-cost housing in the coastal zone in a way that avoids significant adverse impacts on coastal resources.

Some local governments have requested guidance from the Coastal Commission regarding how to implement the ADU and junior ADU statutes in light of Coastal Act requirements. This memorandum is intended to provide general guidance for local governments with fully certified local coastal programs (LCPs). The Coastal Commission is generally responsible for Coastal Act review of ADUs in areas that are not subject to fully certified LCPs. Local governments that have questions about specific circumstances not addressed in this memorandum should contact the appropriate district office of the Coastal Commission.

1) Update Local Coastal Programs
The Coastal Commission strongly recommends that local governments amend their LCPs to address the review of coastal development permit (CDP) applications for ADUs in light of the new
legislation. Currently certified provisions of LCPs, including specific LCP ADU sections currently in place, are not superseded by Government Code section 65852.2 and continue to apply to CDP applications for ADUs. Any conflicts between those LCP provisions and the new statutory requirements as they apply to local permits other than CDPs, however, may cause confusion that unnecessarily thwarts the Legislature’s goal of encouraging ADUs. Government Code section 65852.2 expressly allows local governments to adopt local ordinances that include criteria and standards to address a wide variety of concerns, including potential impacts to coastal resources, and thus the coastal resource context applicable to any particular local government jurisdictional area needs to be addressed in any proposed LCP ADU sections. Coastal Commission staff anticipates that LCP amendments to implement the ADU legislation will reconcile Coastal Act requirements with the ADU statutes, thus allowing accomplishment of the Legislature’s goals both with respect to coastal protection and encouragement of ADUs.

When evaluating what specific changes to make to an LCP, consider whether amendments to the land use plan component of the LCP are necessary in order to allow proposed changes to the implementation plan component. LCP amendments that involve purely procedural changes, that do not propose changes in land use, and/or that would have no impact on coastal resources may be eligible for streamlined review as minor or de minimis amendments. (Pub. Resources Code, § 30514, subd. (d); Cal. Code Regs., § 13554.)

2) Review of ADU Applications

A) Check CDP History for the Site. The ADU statutes apply to residentially zoned lots that currently have a legally established single-family dwelling. Determine whether a CDP was previously issued for development of the lot and whether that CDP limits, or requires a CDP or CDP amendment for, changes to the approved development or for future development or uses of the site. In such cases, previous CDP requirements must be understood in relation to the proposed ADU, and they may restrict the proposal. If an ADU application raises questions regarding a Coastal Commission CDP, including if an amendment to a CDP issued by the Coastal Commission may be necessary, instruct the applicant to contact the appropriate district office of the Coastal Commission.

B) Determine Whether the Proposed ADU Qualifies As Development. The Coastal Act’s permitting requirements apply to development performed or undertaken in the coastal zone. (Pub. Resources Code, § 30600, subd. (a).) Minor changes to an existing legally established residential structure that do not involve the removal or replacement of major structural components (e.g., roofs, exterior walls, foundations) and that do not change the size or the intensity of use of the structure do not qualify as development with the meaning of the Coastal Act. A junior ADU that complies with the requirements of an ordinance enacted pursuant to Government Code section 65852.22 generally will not constitute development because it will not change the building envelope and because it must contain at least one bedroom that was previously part of the primary residence. Such minor changes do not require a Coastal Act approval such as a CDP or waiver unless specified in a previously issued CDP for existing development on the lot. If questions arise regarding whether a
If the Proposed ADU Qualifies As Development, Determine Whether It Is Exempt.

Improvements such as additions to existing single-family dwellings are generally exempt from Coastal Act permitting requirements except when they involve a risk of adverse environmental effects as specified in the Coastal Commission’s regulations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 30610, subd. (a); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 13250.) Improvements that qualify as exempt development under the Coastal Act and its implementing regulations do not require Coastal Act approval unless required pursuant to a previously issued CDP. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 13250, subd. (b)(6).)

An improvement does not qualify as an exempt improvement if the improvement or the existing dwelling is located on a beach, in a wetland, seaward of the mean high tide line, in an environmentally sensitive habitat area, in an area designated as highly scenic in a certified land use plan, or within 50 feet of the edge of a coastal bluff. Improvements that involve significant alteration of land forms as specified in section 13250 of the Commission’s regulations also are not exempt. In addition, the expansion or construction of water wells or septic systems are not exempt. Finally, improvements to structures located between the first public road and the sea or within 300 feet of a beach or the mean high tide line are not exempt if they either increase the interior floor area by 10 percent or more or increase the height by more than 10 percent. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 13250, subd. (b).)

To qualify as an exempt improvement to a single-family dwelling, an ADU must be contained within or directly attached to the existing single-family structure. “[S]elf-contained residential units,” i.e., detached residential units, do not qualify as part of a single-family residential structure and construction of or improvements to them are therefore not exempt development. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 13250, subd. (a)(2).) Again, if questions arise regarding CDP exemption requirements, please contact the appropriate district office of the Coastal Commission.

If the Proposed ADU Is Not Exempt From CDP Requirements, Determine Whether A CDP Waiver is Appropriate. If a proposed ADU qualifies as an improvement to a single-family dwelling but is not exempt, a local government may waive the requirement for a CDP if the LCP includes a waiver provision and the proposed ADU meets the criteria for a CDP waiver. Such provisions generally allow a waiver if the local government finds that the impact of the ADU on coastal resources or coastal access would be insignificant. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 13250, subd. (c).) In addition, they generally allow a waiver if the proposed ADU is a detached structure and the local government determines that the ADU involves no potential for any adverse effect on coastal resources and that it will be consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. (See Pub. Resources Code, § 30624.7.) Some LCPs do not provide for waivers, but may allow similar expedited approval procedures. Those other expedited approval procedures may apply. If an LCP does not include provisions...
regarding CDP waivers or other similar expedited approvals, the local government may submit an LCP amendment to authorize those procedures.

E) **If a Waiver Would Not Be Appropriate, Review CDP Application for Consistency With Certified LCP Requirements.** If a proposed ADU constitutes development, is not exempt, and is not subject to a waiver or similar expedited Coastal Act approval authorized in the certified LCP, it requires a CDP. The CDP must be consistent with the requirements of the certified LCP and, where applicable, the public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act, except that no local public hearing is required. (Gov. Code, § 65852.2, subd. (j).) Provide the required public notice for any CDP applications for ADUs, and process the CDP application according to LCP requirements. Once a final decision on the CDP application has been taken, send the required final local action notice to the appropriate district office of the Coastal Commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §§ 13565-13573.) If the ADU qualifies as appealable development, a local government action to approve a CDP for the ADU may be appealed to the Coastal Commission. (Pub. Resources Code, § 30603.)
On April 18, 2017, we circulated a memo intended to help local governments interpret and implement new state requirements regarding regulation of “accessory dwelling units” (ADUs) in the coastal zone. Following the enactment of AB 2299 (Bloom) and SB 1069 (Wiekowski), changes to Government Code 65852.2 now impose specific requirements on how local governments can and cannot regulate ADUs, with the goal of increasing statewide availability of smaller, more affordable housing units. Our earlier memo was intended to help coastal jurisdictions and members of the public understand how to harmonize the new ADU requirements with LCP and Coastal Act policies. This memo is meant to provide further clarification and reduce confusion about whether and how to amend LCPs in response to these changes.

Although Government Code Section 65852.2(j) states that it does not supersede or lessen the application of the Coastal Act, it would be a mistake for local governments with certified LCPs to interpret this as a signal that they can simply disregard the new law in the coastal zone. The Commission interprets the effect of subdivision (j) as preserving the authority of local governments to protect coastal resources when regulating ADUs in the coastal zone, while also complying with the standards in Section 65852.2 to the greatest extent feasible. In other words, ADU applications that are consistent with the standards in Section 65852.2 should be approved administratively, provided they are also consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act as implemented in the LCP. Where LCP policies and ordinances are already flexible enough to implement the provisions of Section 65852.2 directly, local governments should do so. Where LCP policies directly conflict with the new provisions or require refinement, those LCPs should be updated to be consistent with the new ADU statute to the greatest extent feasible while still complying with Coastal Act requirements.

Bear in mind that Section 65852.2 still preserves a meaningful level of local control by authorizing local governments to craft policies that address local realities. It allows local governments to designate areas where ADUs are allowed based on criteria such as the adequacy of public services and public safety considerations. It also explicitly allows local governments to adopt ordinances that impose certain standards, including but not limited to standards regarding height, setbacks, lot coverage, zoning density, and maximum floor area. In the coastal zone, local governments can incorporate such standards in LCP policies in order to protect Chapter 3 resources while still streamlining approval of ADUs.

Therefore, the Commission reiterates its previous recommendation that local governments amend their LCPs accordingly, using Section 65852.2 as a blueprint for crafting objective
standards related to design, floor area, parking requirements and processing procedures for ADUs in a manner that protects wetlands, sensitive habitat, public access, scenic views of the coast, productive agricultural soils, and the safety of new ADUs and their occupants. Depending on the individual LCP, such amendments might include:

- Updating the definition of an ADU (variously referred to in existing LCPs as second units, granny units, etc.)
- Implementing an administrative review process for ADUs that includes sufficient safeguards for coastal resources
- Re-evaluating the minimum and maximum ADU floor area and related design standards
- Specifying that ADUs shall not be required to install new or separate utility connections
- For ADUs contained within existing residences or accessory structures, eliminating local connection fees or capacity charges for utilities, water and sewer services.
- Providing for ministerial approval of Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs)
- Clarifying that no more than one additional parking space per bedroom is required
- Eliminating off-street parking requirements for ADUs located within a ½ mile of public transit, an architecturally significant historic district, an existing primary residence or accessory structure, one block of a car share vehicle, or where on-street parking permits are required but not offered to the occupant of an ADU

This is just a partial list, as specific changes will depend on existing LCP policies as well as unique local resource constraints. See our earlier memo for additional recommendations.

We are currently conducting a survey to identify the number of local governments which have already initiated the amendment process. For those that have not, Commission staff strongly urges those jurisdictions to do so in the very near future.

To expedite the process, the Commission will process ADU-specific LCPAs as minor or de minimis amendments whenever possible. We realize that procedural requirements for public review and participation can be time consuming, and will strive to complete the Commission’s review process expeditiously. In the interim, we urge local governments to consider which provisions of Section 65852.2 might be implemented administratively, through existing procedures, definitions, or variances. Because each LCP is distinct and unique to its particular jurisdiction, some are inherently more flexible than others. We strongly suggest applying any existing discretion in a manner that conforms to Section 65852.2 as well as your LCP.

We acknowledge that because of the nature of our state/local partnership the Commission cannot compel local governments to undertake these amendments. The foregoing advice is offered in the spirit of our mutual goals and responsibilities of preserving both Coastal Act objectives and local control of planning and permitting decisions. We are grateful that the Legislature elected to preserve the integrity of the Coastal Act when it passed these bills. We are also mindful that this did not reflect any intent to discourage ADUs in the coastal zone, but rather to ensure that new ADU incentives are implemented in a way that does not harm coastal resources. In order to maintain the Legislature’s continued support for this approach, and avoid the imposition of unilateral coastal standards for ADUs in the future, it is essential to demonstrate that these housing policies can and will be responsibly implemented in the coastal zone.

My staff and I remain ready and available to assist in this effort.
RECOMMENDED ACTION: Provide recommendations to staff on options for amending the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) regarding the impact standard for vehicle impact protection devices (VIPDs) in parking lots in the City.

DISCUSSION: On June 26, 2018, ZORACES considered several proposed amendments to Title 17 of the Malibu Municipal Code (MMC) regarding the installation of VIPDs, as required by the City’s existing parking lot safety standards ordinance (Section 17.48.0709).

The City’s existing ordinance specifies as follows:

"Performance Standard. All vehicle impact protection devices shall be engineered and determined to be in compliance with the low-speed vehicle impact testing standards prescribed by the American Society for Testing and Materials ASTM F3016. Compliance with ASTM F3016 shall be confirmed and certified by a bollard manufacturer or structural engineer and reviewed for conformance by the City’s building official."

After the June 26, 2018 ZORACES meeting, an engineer working on the Whole Foods Market project advised staff that a bollard supplier had indicated there are three different impact standards included in F3016. F3016 is a test method that provides a procedure to establish a penetration rating for vehicle protective devices subjected to low-speed vehicle impact. Knowing the penetration rating provides the end user with the ability to select an
appropriate protective device for site-specific conditions. The F3016 test method provides a range of impact speeds, including 10 mph (S10), 20 mph (S20) and 30 mph (S30), where "mph" means miles per hour.

Staff conducted additional research and spoke to Rob Reiter of the Storefront Safety Council, who the City consulted on the original ordinance, and he confirmed there are three different standards. He indicated that the standard should be included in the City’s ordinance and probably was not because Malibu’s was one of the first ordinances written. While the staff reports prepared for the original ordinance clearly indicate that the intent of the ordinance was to establish a 30-mph standard, that standard was not included in the ordinance.

However, many of the property owners have stated that, given the site configuration of their existing parking lots, they believe it would be very difficult for a vehicle to reach 30 mph before hitting the bollard because a vehicle would need to be going very slow as they turn into a parking space.

After discussing the S30 standard further with Mr. Reiter, he indicated that the appropriate standard to use is typically determined after considering site conditions, approach routes and topography and that it would be appropriate for the City to include an S20 or S30 standard. The project engineer (civil or traffic safety engineer) would be responsible for determining which standard is appropriate for the site conditions and would need to provide information on why a certain standard was chosen. Some spaces in the same parking lot may require a 20-mph impact bollard and others may require a 30-mph impact bollard. For instance, the 30-mph standard might be appropriate for situations where the parking is located at the end of a drive aisle, which allows vehicles to pick up speed as they approach the parking space, or a 20-mph standard might be appropriate for situations where the parking space is perpendicular to the drive aisle, which would require the vehicle to slow down to turn into the parking space. Other factors, such as the angle of approach, site topography and slope of the parking lot/parking space, would also be taken into consideration.

The other issue that has arisen is there is no adopted standard for determining whether an existing or planned barrier, such as a wall, palm tree or perhaps large rocks, meets the ASTM F3016 testing standard. These barriers have not been certified as meeting the ASTM F3016 standard. Staff has fielded several questions as to the appropriate expert to certify compliance. In some instances, according to Mr. Reiter, a traffic safety engineer may be the best option for property owners. Staff has contacted one of the traffic engineering firms currently working with Public Works for further information and will report on those findings at the meeting.

To address both of these issues, staff is recommending the following additional changes to the code. (New text is shown as underlined.)
Performance Standard. All vehicle impact protection devices shall be engineered and determined to be in compliance with the low-speed vehicle impact testing standards prescribed by the American Society for Testing and Materials ASTM F3016 (S20 or S30). Compliance with ASTM-F3016 the S20 or S30 standard shall be confirmed and certified by a bollard manufacturer or structural engineer and reviewed for conformance by the city's building official. If the vehicle protection device is not S-rated, an analysis of the site conditions and type of proposed vehicle impact protection devices shall be submitted showing the vehicle impact devices are equivalent to a S20 or S30 standard.

In addition, one of the challenges in complying with the ordinance will be the installation of the large footings needed for a S30 rated bollard. These footings not only have the potential to encroach into existing or planned landscaping but will require a large excavation area, which will especially impact existing parking lots. Staff will have an illustration of the footing details for a six-inch diameter S20 (20 mph) bollard and an eight-inch diameter S30 (30 mph) bollard at the meeting. Staff has reviewed some bollard footing plans that provide the following detail for a shallow mounted S30 bollard: the footing would need to be 12 inches deep with a six-foot by seven-foot footing, and for a S20 bollard (not shallow mounted), the footing would need to be three feet deep and two feet wide. Two bollards are required for each parking space pursuant to the City's ordinance. To date, the preliminary bollard plans submitted by some of the existing parking lots have not been reviewed by City Building and Safety or the preliminary plans do not show footing details.

STAFF FOLLOW-UP: Staff requests that ZORACES provide comments and recommendations on additional possible MMC amendments relative to the City's existing parking lot safety standards ordinance. The amendments will then be drafted and presented to the Planning Commission for a public hearing and a recommendation to the City Council.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Planning Department staff has determined that the proposed zoning text amendment is categorically exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the proposed code amendment to amend the vehicle impact protection standards for parking lot use will have a significant effect on the environment.

ATTACHMENTS: None.